arXiv:1302.0442v1 [math.PR] 3 Feb 2013

Robust utility maximization with a general penalty term

Wahid FAIDI
LAMSIN, ENIT

Anis MATOUSSI
University of Maine

Mohamed MNIF
LAMSIN, ENIT

February 5, 2013

Abstract

We study a robust utility maximization problem with non entropic penalty term. We consider two types of Penalties. The first one is the f-divergence penalty studied in the general framework of a continuous filtration. The second called consistent time penalty studied in the context of a Brownian filtration. These Penalties generalizes the relative entropy. We prove in the two cases that there exists a unique optimal probability measure solution of the robust problem, which is equivalent to the historical probability. In the case of a consistent time penalty, we characterize the dynamic value process of our stochastic control problem as the unique solution of a quadratic backward stochastic differential equation.

Key words : Utility Maximization, Backward Stochastic Differential Equations, model uncertainty, Robust control.

MSC Classification (2000) :

1 Introduction

In the literature connected with the utility maximization problem, the optimality criterion is based on a classical expected utility functional of Von Neumann-Morgenstern form, which requires the choice of a single probabilistic model P. In reality, the choice of P is subject to model uncertainty. Schmeidler [16] and Gilboa and Schmeidler [6] proposed the use of robust utility functionals of the form

$$X \mapsto \inf_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} E_Q[U(X)] \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}$ is a set of prior probability measures. Later, Maccheroni, Marinacci and Rustichini [13] suggested to model investor preferences by robust utility functionals of the form

$$X \mapsto \inf_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} E_Q[U(X) + \gamma(Q)]. \tag{1.2}$$

The most popular choice for the penalty function is the entropic penalty function $\gamma(Q) = \beta H(Q|P)$ for a constant $\beta > 0$ and a reference probability measure P; see, e.g., Hansen and Sargent [9]. A general class of the penalty function γ was developed by Schied by using duality methods. In this article, we are interested in a control problem of type (1.2) with the general penalty term by using stochastic control approach as in Bordigoni, Matoussi and Schweizer [8]. Specifically we are trying to solve the following problem

$$\inf_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}} E_Q[\mathcal{U}_{0,T} + \beta\mathcal{R}_{0,T}(Q)] \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$\mathcal{U}^{\delta}_{t,T} := \alpha\int_t^T S^{\delta}_s U_s ds + \bar{\alpha} S^{\delta}_T \bar{U}_T$$

with :

- $\alpha, \bar{\alpha}$ are two parameters in $[0, +\infty)$ and $\beta \in (0, +\infty)$.
- $(U_t)_{0\le t\le T}$ a progressively measurable process.
- $\bar{U}_T$ a random variable $\mathcal{F}_T$-measurable.
- S^{δ} is the discounting process defined by:

$$S^{\delta}_t := \exp(-\int_0^t \delta_s ds); 0 \le t \le T$$

where $(\delta_t)_{0\le t\le T}$ is a progressively measurable process.

- $\mathcal{R}_{t,T}(Q)$ is a penalty term which is written as a sum of a penalty rate and a final penalty.

Note that the cost functional:

$$c(w, Q) := \mathcal{U}^{\delta}_{0,T} + \beta\mathcal{R}^{\delta}_{0,T}(Q) \tag{1.4}$$

consists of two terms. The first is a Q-expected discounted utility with discount rate δ, utility rate U_s at time s and terminal utility $\bar{U}_T$ at time T. Usually, U_s comes from consumption and U'_T is related to the terminal wealth. The second term, which depends only on Q, is a penalty term which can be interpreted as being a kind of "distance" between Q and the historical probability P. In the presence of uncertainty or model ambiguity, sometimes also called the Knight uncertainty, the economic agent does not know the probability law governing the markets. The economic agent has a certain conjecture about the position of the true probability distribution Q,but not with total confidence. So he regards it as being much plausible than other probabilities. This plausibility can be measured using $\mathcal{R}_{0,T}(Q)$. The agent penalizes each sight probabilistic possible Q in terms of penalty $\mathcal{R}_{0,T}(Q)$ and adopts an approach of the worst case by evaluating the profit of a given financial position. The role of proportionality parameter β is to measure the degree of confidence of the decision maker in the reference probability P, or, in other words, the concern for the model erroneous specification. Higher value of β correspond to more confidence.

In this paper we studied two classes of penalties. The first class is the f-divergence penalty introduced by Cizar [2] given in our framework by:

$$\mathcal{R}^{\delta}_{t,T}(Q) := \int_t^T \delta_s \frac{S^{\delta}_s}{S^{\delta}_t}\frac{Z^Q_t}{Z^Q_s} f(\frac{Z^Q_s}{Z^Q_t})ds + \frac{S^{\delta}_T}{S^{\delta}_t}\frac{Z^Q_t}{Z^Q_T} f(\frac{Z^Q_T}{Z^Q_t}); \forall 0 \leq t \leq T. \tag{1.5}$$

where f is a convex function. In this case, the set $\mathcal{Q}$ consists of all models Q absolutely continuous with respect to P whose density process (with respect to P) Z^Q satisfies: $\mathbb{E}_P[f(Z^Q_T)] < +\infty$.

The second called consistent time penalty studied in the context of a Brownian filtration generated by a Brownian motion $(W_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$.

$$\mathcal{R}^{\delta}_{t,T}(Q) := \int_t^T \delta_s \frac{S^{\delta}_s}{S^{\delta}_t}(\int_t^s h(\eta_u)du)ds + \frac{S^{\delta}_T}{S^{\delta}_t}\int_t^T h(\eta_u)du; \forall 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{1.6}$$

where h is a convex function and the density process of Q^{η} with respect to P can be written:

$$\frac{dQ^{\eta}}{dP} = \mathcal{E}(\int_0^{\cdot} \eta_u dW_u).$$

In this case, the set $\mathcal{Q}$ is formed by all models Q^{η} absolutely continuous with respect to P such that $\mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta}}[\int_0^T h(\eta_s)ds] < +\infty$. Using HJB equation methods, Schied [18] studied the same problem when δ is constant and the process η takes values in a compact convex set in $\mathbb{R}^2$. The paper is organized as follows. In the second section we study the robust utility problem where the penalty is modeled by the f-divergence. We prove the existence of a unique probability measure Q^* equivalent to P. The third section is devoted to the class of consistent time penalty. We also prove the existence of unique probability measure equivalent to P. We characterize the value process as the unique solution of a quadratic BSDE.

2 Class of f-divergence penalty

2.1 The setting

This section gives a precise formulation of our optimization problem and introduces a number of notations for later use. We start with a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, P)$ over a finite time horizon $T \in (0, +\infty)$.

The filtration $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ satisfies the usual conditions of right-continuity and P-completeness.

For any probability measure $Q \ll P$ on $\mathcal{F}_T$, the density process of Q with respect to P is the RCLL P-martingale $Z^Q = (Z^Q_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ with

$$Z^Q_t = \frac{dQ}{dP}\mid_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \mathbb{E}_P[\frac{dQ}{dP} \mid \mathcal{F}_t]; \forall 0 \leq t \leq T$$

Since Z^Q is closed on the right by $Z_t^Q = \frac{dQ}{dP}\,|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$, we can identify Z^Q with Q.
For all $Q \ll P$ on $\mathcal{F}_T$, we define the penalty term by

$$\mathcal{R}_{0,T}^{\delta} := \int_0^T \delta_s S_s^{\delta} \frac{f(Z_s^Q)}{Z_s^Q} ds + S_T^{\delta} \frac{f(Z_T^Q)}{Z_T^Q}; \text{ for all } 0 \le t \le T$$

where $f : [0, +\infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, strictly convex and satisfies the following assumptions:

(H.1) $f(1) = 0$.

(H.2) There is a constant $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $f(x) \ge -\kappa$, for all $x \in (0, +\infty)$.

(H.3) $\lim\limits_{x \mapsto +\infty} \dfrac{f(x)}{x} = +\infty$.

The basic goal is to

$$\text{minimize the functional } Q \mapsto \Gamma(Q) := \mathbb{E}_Q[c(.,Q)] \tag{2.1}$$

over a suitable class of probability measures $Q \ll P$ on $\mathcal{F}_T$.

Definition 2.1 *For a convex function φ we define the following functional spaces:*
L^{φ} is the space of all $\mathcal{F}_T$ measurable random variables X with

$$E_P\left[\varphi\left(\gamma |X|\right)\right] < \infty \qquad \text{for all } \gamma > 0,$$

D_0^{φ} is the space of all progressively measurable processes $X = (X_t)_{0 \le t \le T}$ with

$$E_P\left[\varphi\left(\gamma \ \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \le t \le T} |X_t|\right)\right] < \infty \qquad \text{for all } \gamma > 0,$$

D_1^{φ} is the space of all progressively measurable processes $X = (X_t)_{0 \le t \le T}$ such that

$$E_P\left[\varphi\left(\gamma \int_0^T |X_s| ds\right)\right] < \infty \qquad \text{for all } \gamma > 0.$$

Definition 2.2 *For any probability measures Q on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$, we define the f-divergence of Q with respect to P by:*

$$d(Q|P) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}_P[f(\frac{dQ}{dP}|_{\mathcal{F}_T})] & \text{if } Q \ll P \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_T \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

If $f(x) = x \ln x$, then $d(Q|P)$ is called relative entropy and is denoted by $H(Q|P)$.
We denote by $\mathcal{Q}_f$ the space of all probability measures Q on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ with $Q \ll P$ on $\mathcal{F}_T$, $Q = P$ on $\mathcal{F}_0$ and $d(Q|P) < +\infty$. The set $\mathcal{Q}_f^e$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{Q}_f^e := \{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_f | Q \approx P \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_T\}.$$

We define the conjugate function of f on $\mathbb{R}_+$ by:

$$f^*(x) = \sup_{y>0} (xy - f(y)). \tag{2.2}$$

f^* is a convex function, non decreasing, non negative and satisfies:

$$xy \le f^*(x) + f(y), \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ and } y > 0 \tag{2.3}$$

and also

$$xy \le \frac{1}{\gamma}[f^*(\gamma x) + f(y)], \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}_+, \ \gamma > 0 \text{ and } y > 0. \tag{2.4}$$

For a precise formulation of (2.1), we now assume:

(**A1**) δ is positive and bounded by $\|\delta\|_\infty$.

(**A2**) The process U belongs to $D_1^{f^*}$ and the random variable $\bar{U}_T$ is in L^{f^*}

Remark 2.1 *1- the assumption $f(x) \ge -\kappa$ implies that :*

$$| f(x) | \le f(x) + 2\kappa \ \forall x \ge 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} & | f(x) | - | -\kappa | \\ & \le || f(x) | - | -\kappa || \\ & \le | f(x) + \kappa | = f(x) + \kappa. \end{aligned}$$

2- In the case of entropic penalty, we have $f(x) = x\ln(x)$ and then $f^*(x) = \exp(x-1)$. As in Bordigoni, Matoussi and Schweizer [8], the integrability conditions are formulated as

$$\mathbb{E}_P\left[\exp(\lambda \int_0^T |U(s)|ds)\right] < +\infty \text{ and } \mathbb{E}_P\left[\exp(\lambda|\bar{U}_T|)\right] < +\infty \text{ for all } \lambda > 0.$$

2.2 Existence of optimal probability measure

The main result of this section is to prove that the problem (2.1) has a unique solution $Q^* \in \mathcal{Q}_f$. Under some additional assumptions, we prove that Q^* is equivalent to P. This is proved for a general filtration $\mathbb{F}$. We begin this section by establishing some estimates for later use.

Proposition 2.1 *Under **(A1)-(A2)**,for all $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$; we have*

1. $c(.,Q) \in L^1(Q)$
2. $\Gamma(Q) \le C(1 + d(Q|P))$ *for some a constant $C \in (0,+\infty)$ which depends only on $\alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \beta, \delta, T, U, \bar{U}$.*

In particular $\Gamma(Q)$ is well-defined and finite for every $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_f$

Proof.

1. To show that $c(.,Q) \in L^1(Q)$ is equivalent to prove that $Z_T^Q c(.,Q) \in L^1(P)$. We set $R := \alpha \int_0^T |U(s)|ds + \bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}|$. We have

   $$|Z_T^Q c(.,Q)| \leq Z_T^Q R + \|\delta\|_\infty Z_T^Q \int_0^T \left| \frac{f(Z_s^Q)}{Z_s^Q} \right| ds + \left| f(Z_T^Q) \right|.$$

   By the inequality (2.3), we have $Z_T^Q R \leq f(Z_T^Q) + f^*(R)$. Under Assumption **(A2)**, the variable random $f^*(R)$ is in $L^1(P)$.
   From Remark 2.1, we have $\left| f(Z_T^Q) \right| \leq f(Z_T^Q) + 2\kappa$. Since $\mathbb{E}_P[f(Z_T^Q)] < +\infty$, then $\left| f(Z_T^Q) \right| \in L^1(P)$. It remains to show that $Z_T^Q \int_0^T \left| \frac{f(Z_s^Q)}{Z_s^Q} \right| ds \in L^1(P)$. By Tonelli-Fubini Theorem's, we have

   $$\mathbb{E}_P\big[Z_T^Q \int_0^T \left| \frac{f(Z_s^Q)}{Z_s^Q} \right| ds\big] = \int_0^T \mathbb{E}_P\big[Z_T^Q \left| \frac{f(Z_s^Q)}{Z_s^Q} \right| \big]ds = \int_0^T \mathbb{E}_P\big[Z_s^Q \left| \frac{f(Z_s^Q)}{Z_s^Q} \right| \big]ds = \int_0^T \mathbb{E}_P\big[\left| f(Z_s^Q) \right|\big]ds.$$

   Jensen's inequality provides that

   $$f(Z_s^Q) = f\left(\mathbb{E}_P\left[Z_T^Q | \mathcal{F}_s \right] \right) \leq \mathbb{E}_P\left[f\left(Z_T^Q \right) | \mathcal{F}_s \right].$$

   By taking the expectation under P, we obtain

   $$\mathbb{E}_P\left(f(Z_s^Q) \right) \leq \mathbb{E}_P\left[f\left(Z_T^Q \right) \right]. \tag{2.6}$$

   Consequently,

   $$\mathbb{E}_P\big[|f(Z_s^Q)|\big] \leq \mathbb{E}_P\left[f\left(Z_T^Q \right) \right] + 2\kappa, \tag{2.7}$$

   and so, $s \mapsto \mathbb{E}_P\big[|f(Z_s^Q)|\big]$ is in $L^1([0,T])$. From where, $Z_T^Q \int_0^T \left| \frac{f(Z_s^Q)}{Z_s^Q} \right| ds \in L^1(P)$.

2. From the definition of Γ, we have

   $$\Gamma(Q) \leq \mathbb{E}_P\left[Z_T^Q R \right] + \beta \mathbb{E}_P\left[\|\delta\|_\infty \int_0^T \left| f(Z_s^Q) \right| ds + \left| f(Z_T^Q) \right| \right].$$

   By the inequality (2.7), we have

   $$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_P\left[\|\delta\|_\infty \int_0^T |f(Z_s^Q)| ds + |f(Z_T^Q)| \right] &= \|\delta\|_\infty \int_0^T \mathbb{E}_P\left[|f(Z_s^Q)| \right] ds + \mathbb{E}_P\left[|f(Z_T^Q)| \right] \\ &\leq \|\delta\|_\infty T \Big(\mathbb{E}_P\left[f\left(Z_T^Q \right) \right] + 2\kappa \Big) + \mathbb{E}_P\left[f\left(Z_T^Q \right) \right] + 2\kappa, \end{aligned}$$

   and consequently,

   $$\Gamma(Q) \leq \mathbb{E}_P\left[f^*(R) \right] + 2\kappa\beta(\|\delta\|_\infty T + 1) + (1 + \beta \|\delta\|_\infty T + \beta) d(Q|P).$$

We define the constant C by:

$$C := \max\left(E_P\left[f^*(R)\right] + 2\kappa\beta(\|\delta\|_\infty T+1), (1+\beta\,\|\delta\|_\infty T+\beta)\right)$$

From assumptions (**A1**)-(**A2**), C is finite, positive and answers the question.

□

We will need more precise estimation of $\Gamma(Q)$.

Proposition 2.2 *There exists a positive constant K which depends only on $\alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \beta, \delta, T, U, \bar{U}$ such that*

$$d(Q|P) \leq K(1+\Gamma(Q)).$$

In particular $\inf_{Q\in Q_f} \Gamma(Q) > -\infty$.

Proof. From the Bayes formula, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}_Q[\int_0^T \delta_s S_s^\delta \frac{f(Z_s^Q)}{Z_s^Q} ds|\mathcal{F}_\tau] = \frac{1}{Z_\tau^Q}\mathbb{E}_P[\int_0^T \delta_s S_s^\delta f(Z_s^Q) ds|\mathcal{F}_\tau] \geq -\frac{1}{Z_\tau^Q} T\kappa \parallel \delta \parallel_\infty .$$

In the same way, by using $\exp(-T \parallel \delta \parallel_\infty) \leq S_T^\delta \leq 1$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_Q[S_T^\delta \frac{f(Z_T^Q)}{Z_T^Q}|\mathcal{F}_\tau] &= \frac{1}{Z_\tau^Q}\mathbb{E}_P[S_T^\delta f(Z_T^Q)|\mathcal{F}_\tau] \\
&= \frac{1}{Z_\tau^Q}\mathbb{E}_P\left[S_T^\delta[f(Z_T^Q)-\kappa+\kappa]|\mathcal{F}_\tau\right] \\
&\geq \frac{1}{Z_\tau^Q}(-\kappa + e^{-T\|\delta\|_\infty}(\kappa + \mathbb{E}_P[f(Z_T^Q)|\mathcal{F}_\tau]) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{Z_\tau^Q}(-\kappa + e^{-T\|\delta\|_\infty}\mathbb{E}_P[f(Z_T^Q)|\mathcal{F}_\tau])
\end{aligned}$$

We set $R_\tau := \alpha\int_\tau^T |U_s|ds + \bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|$ and $R = R_0$. By using $0 \leq S^\delta \leq 1$, and Bayes formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_Q[\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta|\mathcal{F}_\tau] &\geq -\mathbb{E}_Q[R|\mathcal{F}_\tau] \\
&= -\frac{1}{Z_\tau^Q}\mathbb{E}_P[Z_T^Q R|\mathcal{F}_\tau].
\end{aligned}$$

By using (2.4) and since f^* is non decreasing, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_P[Z_T^Q R|\mathcal{F}_\tau] &\leq \frac{1}{\gamma}\mathbb{E}_P[f(Z_T^Q) + f^*(\gamma R)|\mathcal{F}_\tau] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\gamma}\mathbb{E}_P[f(Z_T^Q)|\mathcal{F}_\tau] + \frac{1}{\gamma}\mathbb{E}_P[f^*(\gamma R)|\mathcal{F}_\tau].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_Q[c(.,Q)|\mathcal{F}_\tau] \geq &-\beta\frac{1}{Z_\tau^Q}T\kappa\|\delta\|_\infty + \beta\frac{1}{Z_\tau^Q}(-\kappa + e^{-T\|\delta\|_\infty}\mathbb{E}_P[f(Z_T^Q)|\mathcal{F}_\tau]) \\
&- \frac{1}{Z_\tau^Q}\Big[\frac{1}{\gamma}\mathbb{E}_P[f(Z_T^Q)|\mathcal{F}_\tau] + \frac{1}{\gamma}\mathbb{E}_P[f^*(\gamma\alpha\int_0^T |U_s|ds + \gamma\bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|)|\mathcal{F}_\tau]\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

By choosing $\tau = 0$ and taking the expectation under Q, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(Q) \geq & -\beta T\kappa\|\delta\|_\infty + \beta[-\kappa + e^{-T\|\delta\|_\infty}\mathbb{E}_P[f(Z_T^Q)]]- \\ & (\frac{1}{\gamma}\mathbb{E}_P[f(Z_T^Q)] + \frac{1}{\gamma}\mathbb{E}_P[f^*(\gamma\alpha\int_0^T |U_s|ds + \gamma\bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|)]) \\ & = -\beta\kappa(T\|\delta\|_\infty + 1) + d(Q|P)[\beta e^{-T\|\delta\|_\infty} - \frac{1}{\gamma}] \\ & - \frac{1}{\gamma}\mathbb{E}_P[f^*(\gamma\alpha\int_0^T |U_s|ds + \gamma\bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|)]).\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

By choosing γ large enough, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $\beta e^{-T\|\delta\|_\infty} - \frac{1}{\gamma} \geq \eta$. We set

$$K := \frac{1}{\eta}\max(1, \beta\kappa(T\|\delta\|_\infty + 1) + \frac{1}{\gamma}\mathbb{E}_P[f^*(\gamma\alpha\int_0^T |U_s|ds + \gamma\bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|)]).$$

Under the assumptions **(A1)-(A2)**, K is finite and so the proof of the proposition is achieved. □

The following lemma is useful to show the existence of Q^* which realizes the infimum of $Q \mapsto \Gamma(Q)$

Lemma 2.1 *For all $\gamma > 0$ and all $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$ we have :*

$$\mathbb{E}_Q[|\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta|\mathbf{1}_A] \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}(d(Q|P) + \kappa) + \frac{1}{\gamma}\mathbb{E}_P[f^*(\gamma\alpha\int_0^T |U_s|ds + \gamma\bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|)\mathbf{1}_A]. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. From the definition of $\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta$ and using inequality (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}Z_T^Q|\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta|\mathbf{1}_A &\leq Z_T^Q(\alpha\int_0^T |U_s|ds + \gamma\bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|)\mathbf{1}_A \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\gamma}[f(Z_T^Q) + f^*(\gamma\alpha\int_0^T |U_s|ds + \gamma\bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|)]\mathbf{1}_A.\end{aligned}$$

Using Assumption **(H2)**, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}Z_T^Q|\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta|\mathbf{1}_A &\leq \frac{1}{\gamma}[f(Z_T^Q) + \kappa + f^*(\gamma\alpha\int_0^T |U_s|ds + \gamma\bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|)]\mathbf{1}_A \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\gamma}[f(Z_T^Q) + \kappa] + \frac{1}{\gamma}[f^*(\gamma\alpha\int_0^T |U_s|ds + \gamma\bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|)]\mathbf{1}_A.\end{aligned}$$

The result follows by taking the expectation under P. □

The following theorem shows the existence of unique probability measure solution of optimization problem (2.1)

Theorem 2.1 *Under **(A1)-(A2)**, there exists a unique $Q^* \in \mathcal{Q}_f$ which minimizes $Q \mapsto \Gamma(Q)$ over all $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_f$.*

Proof.

1. $Q \mapsto \Gamma(Q)$ is strictly convex; hence Q^* must be unique if it exists.

2. Let $(Q^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a minimizing sequence in $\mathcal{Q}_f$ i.e.

$$\searrow \lim_{n\to+\infty} \Gamma(Q^n) = \inf_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_f} \Gamma(Q) > -\infty,$$

and we denote by $Z^n = Z^{Q^n}$ the corresponding density processes.
Since each $Z^n_T \geq 0$, it follows from Komlós' theorem that there exists a sequence $(\bar{Z}^n_T)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $\bar{Z}^n_T \in conv(Z^n_T, Z^{n+1}_T, ...)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and such that $(\bar{Z}^n_T)$ converges P-a.s. to some random variable $\bar{Z}^\infty_T$ which is nonnegative but may take the value $+\infty$. Because $\mathcal{Q}_f$ is convex, each $\bar{Z}^n_T$ is again associated to some $\bar{Q}^n \in \mathcal{Q}_f$. We claim that this also holds for $\bar{Z}^\infty_T$, i.e., that $d\bar{Q}^\infty := \bar{Z}^\infty_T dP$ defines a probability measure $\bar{Q}^\infty \in \mathcal{Q}_f$. To see this, note first that we have

$$\Gamma(\bar{Q}^n) \leq \sup_{m\geq n} \Gamma(Q^m) = \Gamma(Q^n) \leq \Gamma(Q^1), \tag{2.11}$$

because $Q \mapsto \Gamma(Q)$ is convex and $n \mapsto \Gamma(Q^n)$ is decreasing. Hence Proposition 2.2 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}_P[f(\bar{Z}^n)] &= \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} d(\bar{Q}^n|P) \leq K(1 + \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \Gamma(\bar{Q}^n)) \\ &\leq K(1 + \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \Gamma(Q^n)) \leq K(1 + \Gamma(Q^1)) < +\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

From Assumption **(H3)** and using de la Vallée-Poussin's criterion, we obtain the P-uniformly integrability of $(\bar{Z}^n_T)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and therefore $(\bar{Z}^n_T)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges in $L^1(P)$. This implies that $\mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Z}^\infty_T] = \lim_{n\to+\infty} E_P[\bar{Z}^n_T] = 1$ and so $\bar{Q}^\infty$ is a probability measure and $\bar{Q}^\infty \ll P$ on $\mathcal{F}_T$. Because f is bounded from below by κ, Fatou's lemma and inequality(2.12) yield

$$d(\bar{Q}^\infty|P) = \mathbb{E}_P[f(\bar{Z}^\infty_T)] \leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \mathbb{E}_P[f(\bar{Z}^n_T)] < +\infty. \tag{2.13}$$

Finally, we also have $\bar{Q}^\infty = P$ on $\mathcal{F}_0$. In fact, $(\bar{Z}^n_T)$ converge to $\bar{Z}^\infty_T$ strongly in $L^1(P)$, hence also weakly in $L^1(P)$ and so we have for every $A \in \mathcal{F}_0$:

$$\bar{Q}^\infty[A] = \mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Z}^\infty_T \mathbf{1}_A] = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \mathbb{E}_P[Z^n_T \mathbf{1}_A] = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \bar{Q}^n[A] = P[A].$$

The last equality holds since $\bar{Q}^n(A) = P(A)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_0$. This shows that $\bar{Q}^\infty \in \mathcal{Q}_f$.

3. We now want to show that $Q^* := \bar{Q}^\infty$ attains the infimum of $Q \mapsto \Gamma(Q)$ on $\mathcal{Q}_f$.
Let $\bar{Z}^\infty$ be the density process of $\bar{Q}^\infty$ with respect to P. Because we know that $(\bar{Z}^n_T)$ converges to $\bar{Z}^\infty$ in $L^1(P)$, the Doob's maximal inequality

$$P[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \mid \bar{Z}^\infty_t - \bar{Z}^n_t \mid \geq \epsilon] \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbb{E}_P[\mid \bar{Z}^\infty_T - \bar{Z}^n_T \mid]$$

implies that $(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \mid \bar{Z}^{\infty}_t - \bar{Z}^n_t \mid)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 in P-probability.
By passing to a subsequence that we still denote by $(\bar{Z}^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, we may thus assume that $(\bar{Z}^n)$ converges to $\bar{Z}^{\infty}$ uniformly in t with P-probability 1. This implies that $Z^n_T c(.,\bar{Q}^n) \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \bar{Z}^{\infty}_T c(.,\bar{Q}^{\infty}) P$-a.s. and in more detail with

$$\bar{Y}^n_1 := \bar{Z}^n_T \mathcal{U}^{\delta}_{0,T}, \bar{Y}^n_2 := \beta(\int_0^T \delta_s S^{\delta}_s f(\bar{Z}^n_s)ds + S^{\delta}_T f(\bar{Z}^n_T)) = \beta\mathcal{R}^{\delta}_{0,T}(\bar{Q}^n)$$

for $n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{+\infty\}$ that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \bar{Y}^n_i = \bar{Y}^{\infty}_i P-a.s. \text{ for } i=1,2.$$

Since $\bar{Y}^n_2$ is bounded from below, uniformly in n and ω, Fatou's lemma yields

$$\mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Y}^{\infty}_2] \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Y}^n_2]. \tag{2.14}$$

We prove below that we have

$$\mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Y}^{\infty}_1] \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Y}^n_1]. \tag{2.15}$$

Plugging (2.14) and (2.15) into (2.11), we obtain

$$\Gamma(\bar{Q}^{\infty}) = \mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Y}^{\infty}_1 + \bar{Y}^{\infty}_2] \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \Gamma(\bar{Q}^n) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \Gamma(Q^n) \leq \inf_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_f} \Gamma(Q)$$

which proves that $\bar{Q}^{\infty}$ is indeed optimal.
It now remains to show that $\mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Y}^{\infty}_1] \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Y}^n_1]$.
We set for $m\in\mathbb{N}$; $\tilde{R}_m := \mathcal{U}^{\delta}_{0,T}\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{U}^{\delta}_{0,T}\geq -m\}}$. Thus for all $n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{+\infty\}$;

$$\bar{Y}^n_1 = \bar{Z}^n_T\mathcal{U}^{\delta}_{0,T} = \bar{Z}^n_T\tilde{R}_m + \bar{Z}^n_T\mathcal{U}^{\delta}_{0,T}\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{U}^{\delta}_{0,T}<-m\}}$$

Since $\tilde{R}_m \geq -m$ and $\mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Z}^n_T]=1$, Fatou's lemma yields :

$$\mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Z}^{\infty}_T\mathcal{U}^{\delta}_{0,T}] \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Z}^n_T\mathcal{U}^{\delta}_{0,T}].$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Y}^{\infty}_1] &= \mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Y}^{\infty}_1\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{U}^{\delta}_{0,T}\geq -m\}}] + \mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Y}^{\infty}_1\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{U}^{\delta}_{0,T}<-m\}}]\\ &\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Z}^n_T\tilde{R}_m] + \mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Z}^{\infty}_T\mathcal{U}^{\delta}_{0,T}\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{U}^{\delta}_{0,T}<-m\}}]\\ &\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Y}^n_1] + 2\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}} \mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Z}^n_T|\mathcal{U}^{\delta}_{0,T}|\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{U}^{\delta}_{0,T}<-m\}}].\end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that

$$\lim_{m\to+\infty}\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}} \mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Z}^n_T|\mathcal{U}^{\delta}_{0,T}|\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{U}^{\delta}_{0,T}<-m\}}] = 0.$$

However, Lemma 2.1 and Proposition **??** gives for any $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Z}_T^n|\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta|\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta<-m\}}] = \mathbb{E}_{\bar{Q}^n}[|\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta|\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta<-m\}}] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\gamma}(d(\bar{Q}^n|P)+\kappa) + \frac{1}{\gamma}\mathbb{E}_P[\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta<-m\}} f^*(\gamma\alpha \int_0^T |U_s|ds + \gamma\bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\gamma}\Big(K\big(1+\Gamma(\bar{Q}^n)\big)+\kappa\Big) + \mathbb{E}_P[\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta<-m\}} f^*(\gamma\alpha \int_0^T |U_s|ds + \gamma\bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|)]).\end{aligned}$$

By using inequality (2.11), we obtain for all $\gamma > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}} \mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Z}_T^n|\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta|\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta<-m\}}] &\leq \frac{1}{\gamma}\Big(K\big(1+\Gamma(Q^1)\big)+\kappa\Big) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\gamma}\mathbb{E}_P[\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta<-m\}} f^*(\gamma\alpha \int_0^T |U_s|ds + \gamma\alpha'|U_T'|)]).\end{aligned}$$

By the dominated convergence theorem and using the integrability Assumption (**A2**) and since f^* is non negative function, we have

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_P[\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta<-m\}} f^*(\gamma\alpha \int_0^T |U_s|ds + \gamma\bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|)] = 0.$$

Then, for all $\gamma > 0$

$$\lim_{m\to+\infty} \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}} \mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Z}_T^n|\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta|\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta<-m\}}] \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}(K(1+\Gamma(Q^1))+\kappa).$$

By sending γ to $+\infty$, we obtain the desired result. □

Our next result is to prove that the minimal measure Q^* is equivalent to P. For this reason we need the following additional assumption:

$$\textbf{(A3)}: f \text{ is differentiable on } (0,+\infty) \text{ and } f'(0) = \lim_{x\to 0^+} f'(x) = -\infty.$$

We uses as in Bordigoni, Matoussi and Schweizer [8] an adaptation of an argument given by Frittelli [12], and we start with an auxiliary result.

Lemma 2.2 *Let Q^0 and Q^1 two elements in $\mathcal{Q}_f$ with respective densities Z^0 and Z^1. Then*

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \mathbb{E}_P\Big[\Big(f'(Z_t^0)(Z_t^1 - Z_t^0)\Big)^+\Big] \leq d(Q^1|P) + \kappa.$$

Proof. We pose $Z^x = xZ^1 + (1-x)Z^0$ and for $x \in (0,1]$ and fixe $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$H(x,t) := \frac{1}{x}(f(Z_t^x) - f(Z_t^0)). \tag{2.16}$$

Since f is strictly convex, the function $x \mapsto H(x,t)$ is non decreasing and consequently :

$$\begin{aligned}H(1,t) &\geq \lim_{x\searrow 0} \frac{1}{x}(f(Z_t^x) - f(Z_t^0)) = \frac{d}{dx} f(Z_t^x)\mid_{x=0} \\ &= f'(Z_t^0)(Z_t^1 - Z_t^0).\end{aligned}$$

From Assumption **(H2)**, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f'(Z_t^0)(Z_t^1 - Z_t^0) \leq H(1,t) &= f(Z_t^1) - f(Z_t^0) \\ &\leq f(Z_t^1) + \kappa \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Since $f(Z_t^1) + \kappa \geq 0$, then $f'(Z_t^0)(Z_t^1 - Z_t^0)^+ \leq f(Z_t^1) + \kappa$. Replacing in the inequality (2.6) Z^Q by Z^1, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_P[f(Z_t^1)] \leq \mathbb{E}_P[f(Z_T^1)] = d(Q^1|P)$$

Taking the expectation under P in equation (2.17) we obtain the desired result. □

Theorem 2.2 *Under the Assumptions **(A1)-(A2)**, the optimal probability measure Q^* is equivalent to P.*

Proof.
1) As in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we take $Q^0, Q^1 \in \mathcal{Q}_f$, we set $Q^x := xQ^1 + (1-x)Q^0$ for $x \in (0;1]$ and we denote by Z^x the density process of Q^x with respect to P. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x}(\Gamma(Q^x) - \Gamma(Q^0)) &= \mathbb{E}_P[(Z_T^1 - Z_T^0)\mathcal{U}^\delta] \\ &+ \frac{1}{x}\beta\mathbb{E}_P[\int_0^T \delta_s S_s^\delta (f(Z_s^x) - f(Z_s^0))ds + S_T^\delta(f(Z_T^x) - f(Z_T^0))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_P[(Z_T^1 - Z_T^0)\mathcal{U}^\delta] \\ &+ \beta\mathbb{E}_P[\int_0^T \delta_s S_s^\delta H(x,s)ds + S_T^\delta H(x,T)] \end{aligned}$$

Since $x \mapsto H(x;s)$ is non decreasing and using Assumption **(H2)**, we have

$$H(x,s) \leq H(1,s) = f(Z_s^1) - f(Z_s^0) \leq f(Z_s^1) + \kappa,$$

where the right hand of the last inequality is integrable. Hence we can use monotone convergence Theorem to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx}\Gamma(Q^x)\,|_{x=0} &= \mathbb{E}_P[(Z_T^1 - Z_T^0)\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta] + \beta\mathbb{E}_P[\int_0^T \delta_s S_s^\delta f'(Z_s^0)(Z_s^1 - Z_s^0)ds \\ &+ S_T^\delta f'(Z_T^0)(Z_T^1 - Z_T^0)] \\ &:= \mathbb{E}_P[Y_1] + \mathbb{E}_P[Y_2]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Under Assumptions (**A1**)-(**A2**) and from inequality (2.3), we have $Y_1 \in L^1(P)$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.2, and since $x \mapsto H(x,s)$ is non decreasing we obtain

$$Y_2 \leq \int_0^T \delta_s S_s^\delta H(1,s)ds + S_T^\delta H(1,T) \leq \int_0^T \delta_s S_s^\delta (f(Z_s^1) + \kappa)ds + S_T^\delta(f(Z_T^1) + \kappa)$$

which is P-integrable because $Q^1 \in \mathcal{Q}_f$. From Lemma 2.2 we deduce that $Y_2^+ \in L^1(P)$ and so the right-hand side of (2.18) is well-defined in $[-\infty, +\infty)$.

2) Now take $Q^0 = Q^*$ and any $Q^1 \in \mathcal{Q}_f$ which is equivalent to P this is possible since $\mathcal{Q}_f$ contains P. The optimality of Q^* yields $\Gamma(Q^x) - \Gamma(Q^*) \geq 0$ for all $x \in (0; 1]$, hence also

$$\frac{d}{dx}\Gamma(Q^x) \mid_{x=0} \geq 0. \tag{2.19}$$

Therefore the right-hand side of (2.18) is nonnegative which implies that Y_2 must be in $L^1(P)$. This allows us to rearrange terms and rewrite (2.19) by using (2.18) as

$$\beta \mathbb{E}_P[\int_0^T \delta_s S_s^\delta f'(Z_s^*)(Z_s^1 - Z_s^*)ds + S_T^\delta f'(Z_T^*)(Z_s^1 - Z_T^*)] \geq -\mathbb{E}_P[(Z_T^1 - Z_T^*)\mathcal{U}^\delta] \tag{2.20}$$

But the right-hand side of (2.20) is $> -\infty$. So if we have $Q^* \not\approx P$, the set $A := \{Z_T^* = 0\}$ satisfies $P[A] > 0$. Since $Q^1 \approx P$, we have $Z_T^1 > 0$, and so $f'(Z_T^*)(Z_T^1 - Z_T^*)^- = +\infty$ on A. This gives $[f'(Z_T^*)(Z_T^1 - Z_T^*)^-] = \infty$ since $Q^1 \approx P$. But since we know from Lemma 2.2 that $[f'(Z_T^*)(Z_T^1 - Z_T^*)^+] \in L^1(P)$, we then conclude that $\mathbb{E}_P[f'(Z_T^*)(Z_T^1 - Z_T^*)] = -\infty$ and this gives a contradiction to (2.20). Therefore $Q^* \approx P$. □

2.3 Bellman optimality principle

In this section we establish the martingale optimality principle which is a direct consequence of Theorems 1.15 , 1.17 and 1.21 in El Karoui [11]. For this reason, we introduce some notations. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the set of all $\mathcal{F}$-stopping times τ with values in $[0, T]$ and $\mathcal{D}$ the space of all density processes Z^Q with $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_f$. We define

$$\mathcal{D}(Q, \tau) := \{Z^{Q'} \in \mathcal{D}; Q = Q' \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_\tau\}$$

$$\Gamma(\tau, Q) := \mathbb{E}_Q[c(., Q)|\mathcal{F}_\tau]$$

and the minimal conditional cost at time τ ,

$$J(\tau, Q) := Q \text{ - } \underset{Q' \in \mathcal{D}(Q,\tau)}{\operatorname{essinf}} \Gamma(\tau, Q').$$

Then (2.1) can be reformulated to

$$\text{find } \inf_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_f} \Gamma(Q) = \inf_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_f} \mathbb{E}_Q[c(., Q)] = \mathbb{E}_P[J(0; Q)] \tag{2.21}$$

by using the dynamic programming principle and the fact that $Q = P$ on $\mathcal{F}_0$ for every $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_f$.

Proposition 2.3 *(1) The family $\{J(\tau, Q)|\tau \in \mathcal{S}, Q \in Q_f\}$ is a submartingale system.*

(2) $Q^* \in Q_f$ is optimal $\Leftrightarrow$ $\{J(\tau, Q^*)|\tau \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is a martingale system.

(3) For all $Q \in Q_f$ there is an adapted RCLL process $J^Q = (J_t^Q)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ which is a right closed Q-submartingale such that : $J_\tau^Q = J(\tau, Q)$ Q-a.s for each stopping time τ.

Proof. We should like to apply Theorems 1.15, 1.17, 1.21 in El Karoui [11]. These theorems requires that

1. $c \geq 0$ or $\inf_{Q' \in \mathcal{D}(Q,t)} E_{Q'}[|c(\cdot,\ Q')|] < \infty$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{S}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_f$,
2. The space $\mathcal{D}$ is compatible and stable under bifurcation,
3. The cost functional is coherent.

The proof is postponed in the appendix.

Remark 2.2 *In the proof of the Bellman Optimality principle, condition (2.3) ensures that* $J(\tau, Q) \in L^1(Q)$ *for each* $\tau \in \mathcal{S}$. *In our case we prove such result directly (see Lemma 4.1).*

$\square$

3 Class of Consistent time penalty

In this section we assume that the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ is generated by a d-dimensional Brownian motion W. Then for every measure $Q \ll P$ on $\mathcal{F}_T$ there exists a d- dimensional predictable process $(\eta_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ such that $\int_0^T \|\eta_t\|^2 dt < +\infty$ $Q.a.s$ and the density process of Q with respect to P is a RCLL martingale $Z^Q = (Z_t^Q)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ given by:

$$Z_t^Q = \mathcal{E}(\int_0^t \eta_u dW_u)\ \ Q.p.s, \forall t \in [0,T]. \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathcal{E}(M)_t = \exp(M_t - \frac{1}{2}\langle M\rangle_t)$ denotes the stochastic exponential of a continuous local martingale M. Barrieu and El Karoui [7], Delbaen et al.[4] have introduced a consistent time penalty given by:

$$\gamma_t(Q) = E_Q[\int_t^T h(s,\eta_s)ds|\mathcal{F}_t]$$

where $h : [0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^d \to [0,+\infty]$ be a convex, proper and lower semi-continuous function such that $h(.,.,0) \equiv 0$.

In our case, we consider a deterministic function h defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We also assume that there are two positive constants κ_1 and κ_2 satisfying:

$$h(x) \geq \kappa_1\|x\|^2 - \kappa_2.$$

The penalty term is defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_{t,T}^{\delta}(Q^\eta) = \int_t^T \delta_s \frac{S_s^\delta}{S_t^\delta}(\int_t^s h(\eta_u)du)ds + \frac{S_T^\delta}{S_t^\delta}\int_t^T h(\eta_u)du, \forall 0 \leq t \leq T. \tag{3.2}$$

for $Q \ll P$ on $\mathcal{F}_T^W$. As in the case of f-divergence penalty, we have to solve the following optimization problem:

$$\text{minimize the functional}\, Q^\eta \mapsto \Gamma(Q^\eta) := \mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}[c(.,Q^\eta)] \tag{3.3}$$

over an appropriate class of probability measures $Q^\eta \ll P$.

Definition 3.1 *For all probability measure Q^η on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$, we define the penalty function:*

$$\gamma_t(Q^\eta) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}[\int_t^T h(\eta_s)ds|\mathcal{F}_t] & \text{if } Q^\eta \ll P \text{ on} \mathcal{F}_T \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

We note $\mathcal{Q}_f^c$ the space of all probability measures Q^η on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ such that $Q^\eta \ll P$ on $\mathcal{F}_T$ and $\gamma_0(Q^\eta) < +\infty$ and $\mathcal{Q}_f^{c,e} := \{Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f | Q \approx P \, on \mathcal{F}_T\}$.

Remark 3.1 *1- We note that $\mathcal{Q}_f^{c,e}$ is non empty set because $P \in \mathcal{Q}_f^{c,e}$.*

2- The particular case of $h(x) = \frac{1}{2}|x|^2$ corresponds to the entropic penalty. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} H(Q^\eta|P) &= \mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}[\log(\frac{dQ^\eta}{dP})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}[\int_0^T \eta_u dW_u - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T |\eta_u|^2 du] \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\int_0^\cdot \eta_u dW_u)$ is a local martingale under P, then by the Girsanov theorem $(\int_0^\cdot \eta_u dW_u) - \int_0^\cdot |\eta_u|^2 du$ is a local martingale under Q^η and so

$$\begin{aligned} H(Q^\eta|P) &= \mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}[\int_0^T \eta_u dW_u - \int_0^T |\eta_u|^2 du + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T |\eta_u|^2 du] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T |\eta_u|^2 du] = \gamma_0(Q^\eta). \end{aligned}$$

□

3- For a general function h we have for all $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c$,

$$H(Q^\eta|P) \leq \frac{1}{2\kappa_1}\gamma_0(Q^\eta) + \frac{T\kappa_2}{2\kappa_1}. \tag{3.4}$$

Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} H(Q^\eta|P) = \mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}[\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T |\eta_s|^2 ds] &\leq \mathbb{E}_P[\frac{1}{2\kappa_1}(\int_0^T (h(|\eta_s|) + \kappa_2)ds)] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}[\frac{1}{2\kappa_1}(\int_0^T (h(|\eta_s|)ds) + \frac{T\kappa_2}{2\kappa_1}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\kappa_1}\gamma_0(Q^\eta) + \frac{T\kappa_2}{2\kappa_1} \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

In particular $H(Q^\eta|P)$ is finite for all $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c$. □

To guarantee the well-posdness of the problem (3.3) we need to replace the Assumption (**A2**) by **(A'2)**: the cost process U belongs to $D_1^{\exp}$ and the terminal target $\bar{U}_T$ is in $L^{\exp}$

Remark 3.2 *Under Assumption **(A'2)**, we have*

$$\lambda \int_0^T |U_s|ds + \mu|\bar{U}_T| \in L^{\exp}, \quad \textit{for all } (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2. \tag{3.6}$$

Indeed, since $x \mapsto \exp(x)$ *is convex we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_P[\exp(\lambda \int_0^T |U_s|ds + \mu|\bar{U}_T|)] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_P[\exp(\frac{1}{2} \times 2\lambda \int_0^T |U_s|ds + \frac{1}{2} \times 2\mu|\bar{U}_T|)] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_P[\frac{1}{2}\exp(2\lambda \int_0^T |U_s|ds) + \frac{1}{2}\exp(2\mu|\bar{U}_T|)] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_P[\exp(2\lambda \int_0^T |U_s|ds)] + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_P[\exp(2\mu|\bar{U}_T|)] < +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

3.1 Existence for an optimal model

The main result of this section is to prove the existence of an unique probability $Q^{\eta*}$ that minimizes the functional $Q^\eta \mapsto \Gamma(Q^\eta)$ in all probability $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c$. We begin this section by giving some estimates for $\Gamma(Q^\eta)$ for all $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c$.

Proposition 3.1 *Under assumption **(A1)-(A'2)**, we have for all* $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c$ *:*

1. $c(., Q^\eta) \in L^1(Q^\eta)$.
2. $\Gamma(Q^\eta) \leq C(1+\gamma_0(Q^\eta))$ *for some positive constant* C *which depends only on* $\alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \beta, \delta, T, U, \bar{U}$.

In particular $\Gamma(Q^\eta)$ *is well defined and finite for all* $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c$.

Proof.

1. As in Proposition 2.1, we have $Z_T^\eta R \in L^1(P)$ i.e $R \in L^1(Q^\eta)$. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\int_0^T \delta_s S_s^\delta (\int_0^s h(\eta_u)du)ds + S_T^\delta \int_0^T h(\eta_s)ds| \\
&\leq \int_0^T \|\delta\|_\infty \Big(\int_0^T h(\eta_u)du \Big) ds + \int_0^T h(\eta_s)ds \\
&\leq \Big(\|\delta\|_\infty T + 1 \Big) \int_0^T h(\eta_s)ds \in L^1(Q^\eta).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

2. From inequality (2.3) with $f(x) = x \log x$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(Q^\eta) &\leq \mathbb{E}_P[Z_T^\eta R] + \beta \mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}[\int_0^T \delta_s S_s^\delta (\int_0^s h(\eta_u)du)ds + S_T^\delta \int_0^T h(\eta_u)du] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_P[Z_T^\eta \log Z_T^\eta + e^{-1}e^R] + \beta(\| \delta \|_\infty T + 1)\mathbb{E}_Q^\eta[\int_0^T h(\eta_u)] \\
&\leq H(Q^\eta|P) + e^{-1}\mathbb{E}_P[e^R] + \beta(\| \delta \|_\infty T + 1)\gamma_0(Q^\eta).
\end{aligned}$$

From inequality (3.4), we have

$$\Gamma(Q^\eta) \leq (\frac{1}{2\kappa_1} + \beta(\parallel \delta \parallel_\infty T + 1))\gamma_0(Q^\eta) + e^{-1}\mathbb{E}_P[e^R] + \frac{T\kappa_2}{2\kappa_1}.$$

We takes $C := \max(e^{-1}E_P[e^R] + \frac{t\kappa_2}{2\kappa_1}, \frac{1}{2\kappa_1} + \beta(\parallel \delta \parallel_\infty T + 1))$ which is finite, then the result follows. □

The following proposition gives a lower bound for our criterion $\Gamma(Q^\eta)$ for all $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c$.

Proposition 3.2 *Under the assumptions* ***(A1)-(A'2)****, there exists a positive constant K such that for all $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f$*

$$\gamma_0(Q^\eta) \leq K(1 + \Gamma(Q^\eta)).$$

In particular $\inf_{Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f} \Gamma(Q^\eta) > -\infty$.

Proof. For $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f$, we denote by Z^η its density process. Since h takes values on $[0, +\infty]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\beta E_{Q^\eta}[\int_0^T \delta_s S_s^\delta(\int_0^s h(\eta_u)du)ds + S_T^\delta \int_0^T h(\eta_u)du] &\geq \beta E_{Q^\eta}[S_T^\delta \int_0^T h(\eta_u)du] \\ &\geq \beta e^{-\|\delta\|_\infty T}\gamma_0(Q^\eta).\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Moreover, since $0 \leq S^\delta \leq 1$, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}[\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta] \geq -\mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}[R] = -\mathbb{E}_P[Z_T^\eta R]. \tag{3.9}$$

From inequality (2.4) where $f(x) = x\log x$, and as a consequence $f^*(\lambda x) = e^{\lambda x - 1}$ we have

$$xy \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}(y\ln y + e^{-1}e^{\lambda x}) \text{ for all } (x, y, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}^* \tag{3.10}$$

We get:

$$\mathbb{E}_\mathbb{P}[Z_T^\eta R] \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_P[Z_T^\eta \log Z_T^\eta + e^{-1}e^{\lambda R}] = \frac{1}{\lambda}H(Q^\eta|P) + \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}].$$

From inequality (3.4), we deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}_P[Z_T^\eta R] \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda\kappa_1}\gamma_0(Q^\eta) + \frac{T\kappa_2}{2\lambda\kappa_1} + \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}]. \tag{3.11}$$

From the definition of $\Gamma(Q^\eta)$, we have

$$\Gamma(Q^\eta) = E_P[Z_T\mathcal{U}_{0,T}] + \beta E_{Q^\eta}[\int_0^T \delta_s S_s^\delta(\int_0^s h(\eta_u)du)ds + S_T^\delta \int_0^T h(\eta_u)du].$$

From (3.8),(3.9) and (3.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(Q^\eta) &\geq \beta e^{-\|\delta\|_\infty T}\gamma_0(Q^\eta) - \frac{1}{2\lambda\kappa_1}\gamma_0(Q^\eta) - \frac{T\kappa_2}{2\lambda\kappa_1} - \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}] \\ &= (\beta e^{-\|\delta\|_\infty T} - \frac{1}{2\lambda\kappa_1})\gamma_0(Q^\eta) - \frac{T\kappa_2}{2\lambda\kappa_1} - \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}]\end{aligned}$$

By choosing $\lambda > 0$ large enough, there exists $\mu > 0$ such that $\beta e^{-\|\delta\|_\infty T} - \dfrac{1}{2\lambda\kappa_1} \geq \mu$. From Remark 3.2, we have $\mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}]$ is finite. Then, by taking $K := \frac{1}{\mu}\max(1, \dfrac{T\kappa_2}{2\lambda\kappa_1} + \dfrac{e^{-1}}{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}])$, we obtain the desired result. □

By combining the previous Proposition and the inequality (3.4) we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.1 *Under the assumptions **(A1)-(A'2)**,there exists a positive constant K' such that for all $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c$*

$$H(Q^\eta|P) \leq K'(1 + \Gamma(Q^\eta)).$$

To prove the existence of the minimizer probability measure, we need the following technical estimate.

Lemma 3.1 *For all $\gamma > 0$ and any $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$ we have:*

$$\mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}[|\mathcal{U}^\delta_{0,T}|\boldsymbol{1}_A] \leq \frac{\gamma_0(Q^\eta)}{2\lambda\kappa_1} + \frac{T\kappa_2}{2\lambda\kappa_1} + \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda} + \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_P[\boldsymbol{1}_A \exp(\lambda\alpha\int_0^T |U_s|ds + \lambda\bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|)]. \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. From Remark 3.2, we have $R \in L^{\exp}$. By using the inequality (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z_T^\eta|\mathcal{U}^\delta_{0,T}|\mathbf{1}_A &\leq Z_T^\eta(\alpha\int_0^T |U_s|ds + \bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|)\mathbf{1}_A \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda}[Z_T^\eta \ln(Z_T^\eta) + e^{-1}\exp(\lambda\alpha\int_0^T |U_s|ds + \lambda\bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|)]\mathbf{1}_A \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda}[Z_T^\eta \ln(Z_T^\eta) + e^{-1}] + \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda}\mathbf{1}_A\exp(\lambda\alpha\int_0^T |U_s|ds + \lambda\bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|). \end{aligned}$$

By taking the expectation with respect to P and using the inequality (3.5) we get

$$\mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}[|\mathcal{U}^\delta_{0,T}|\mathbf{1}_A] \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda\kappa_1}\gamma_0(Q^\eta) + \frac{T\kappa_2}{2\lambda\kappa_1} + \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda} + \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_P[\mathbf{1}_A\exp(\lambda\alpha\int_0^T |U_s|ds + \lambda\bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|)],$$

and so inequality (3.12) is proved. □

Proposition 3.3 *The functional $Q^\eta \mapsto \Gamma(Q^\eta)$ is convex.*

Proof. By the product derivatives formula, we have

$$\frac{d}{ds}(S_s^\delta(\int_0^s h(\eta_u)du)) = -\delta_s S_s^\delta \int_0^s h(\eta_u)du + S_s h(\eta_s).$$

By integrating between 0 and T we get:

$$\int_0^T \delta_s S_s^\delta(\int_0^s h(\eta_u)du)ds + S_T^\delta\int_0^T h(\eta_u)du = \int_0^T S_s^\delta h(\eta_s)ds. \quad (3.13)$$

Fix $\lambda \in (0,1)$ and Q^η and $Q^{\eta'}$ two distinct elements of $\mathcal{Q}_f$.
Let $Q = \lambda Q^\eta + (1-\lambda)Q^{\eta'}$ and $L_t = \mathbb{E}_P[\frac{dQ}{dP}|\mathcal{F}_t]$. Using Itô's formula, we get $L_t = \mathcal{E}(q.W)_t$ where $(q_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ is defined by

$$q_t = \frac{\lambda\eta L_t^\eta + (1-\lambda)\eta' L_t^{\eta'}}{\lambda L_t^\eta + (1-\lambda)L_t^{\eta'}}\mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda L_t^\eta + (1-\lambda)L_t^{\eta'} > 0\}} \quad dt \otimes dP \text{ a.e.} \quad t \in [0,T].$$

From the definition of the penalty term in Γ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_{0,T}(Q) &= \mathbb{E}_Q\Big[\int_0^T \delta_s S_s^\delta\Big(\int_0^s h(q_u)du\Big)ds + S_T^\delta \int_0^T h(q_u)du\Big]\\ &= \mathbb{E}_Q\Big[\int_0^T S_s^\delta h\Big(\frac{\lambda\eta L_s^\eta + (1-\lambda)\eta' L_s^{\eta'}}{\lambda L_s^\eta + (1-\lambda)L_s^{\eta'}}\mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda L_s^\eta + (1-\lambda)L_s^{\eta'}>0\}}\Big)ds\Big]\\ &\le \mathbb{E}_Q\Big[\int_0^T S_s^\delta h\Big(\frac{\lambda\eta L_s^\eta + (1-\lambda)\eta' L_s^{\eta'}}{\lambda L_s^\eta + (1-\lambda)L_s^{\eta'}}\Big)\mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda L_s^\eta + (1-\lambda)L_s^{\eta'}>0\}}ds\Big],\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is deduced from (3.13). By the convexity of h we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}_Q\Big[\int_0^T \delta_s S_s^\delta\Big(\int_0^s h(q_u)du\Big)ds + S_T^\delta \int_0^T h(q_u)du\Big]\\ &\le \mathbb{E}_Q\Big[\int_0^T S_s^\delta\Big(\frac{\lambda L_s^\eta}{\lambda L_s^\eta + (1-\lambda)L_s^{\eta'}}h(\eta_s) + \frac{(1-\lambda)L_s^{\eta'}}{\lambda L_s^\eta + (1-\lambda)L_s^{\eta'}}h(\eta'_s)\Big)\mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda L_s^\eta + (1-\lambda)L_s^{\eta'}>0\}}ds\Big]\\ &= \mathbb{E}_P\Big[\int_0^T (\lambda L_s^\eta + (1-\lambda)L_s^{\eta'})S_s^\delta\Big(\frac{\lambda L_s^\eta}{\lambda L_s^\eta + (1-\lambda)L_s^{\eta'}}h(\eta_s) + \frac{(1-\lambda)L_s^{\eta'}}{\lambda L_s^\eta + (1-\lambda)L_s^{\eta'}}h(\eta'_s)\Big)\mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda L_s^\eta + (1-\lambda)L_s^{\eta'}>0\}}ds\Big]\\ &= \lambda\mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}\Big[\int_0^T S_s^\delta h(\eta_s)\mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda L_s^\eta + (1-\lambda)L_s^{\eta'}>0\}}ds\Big] + (1-\lambda)\mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta'}}\Big[\int_0^T S_s^\delta h(\eta'_s)\mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda L_s^\eta + (1-\lambda)L_s^{\eta'}>0\}}ds\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Since we have $\mathbb{E}_Q[\mathcal{U}_{0,T}] = \lambda\mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}[\mathcal{U}_{0,T}] + (1-\lambda)\mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta'}}[\mathcal{U}_{0,T}]$, we deduce that

$$\Gamma(Q) \le \lambda\Gamma(Q^\eta) + (1-\lambda)\Gamma(Q^{\eta'}).$$

□

The following theorem states the existence of a probability measure solution of the optimization problem (3.3).

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that **(A1)-(A'2)** are satisfied. Then there exists a probability measure* $Q^{\eta^*} \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c$ *minimizing* $Q^\eta \mapsto \Gamma(Q^\eta)$ *over all* $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c$.

Proof.

1. Let $(Q^{\eta^n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a minimizing sequence of $\mathcal{Q}_f^c$ ie:

$$\searrow \lim_{n\to+\infty}\Gamma(Q^{\eta^n}) = \inf_{Q^\eta\in\mathcal{Q}_f^c}\Gamma(Q^\eta).$$

We denote by $Z^n := Z^{Q^{\eta^n}} = \mathcal{E}(\int \eta_n dW)$ the corresponding density processes.
Since each $Z_T^n \ge 0$, it follows from Komlós lemma there exists a sequence $(\bar{Z}_T^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\bar{Z}_T^n \in conv(Z_T^n, Z_T^{n+1}, ...)$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $(\bar{Z}_t^n)$ converges P-a.s to a random variable $\bar{Z}_T^\infty$.
$\bar{Z}_T^\infty$ is positive but may be infinite. As $\mathcal{Q}_f$ is convex, each $\bar{Z}_T^n$ is associated with a

probability measure $\bar{Q}^n \in \mathcal{Q}_f$. This also holds for $\bar{Z}^\infty_T$ ie that $d\bar{Q}^\infty := \bar{Z}^\infty dP$ defines a probability measure $\bar{Q}^\infty \in \mathcal{Q}_f$. Indeed, we have first:

$$\Gamma(\bar{Q}^n) \leq \sup_{m \geq n} \Gamma(Q^{\eta^m}) = \Gamma(Q^{\eta^n}) \leq \Gamma(Q^{\eta^1}), \tag{3.14}$$

where the first inequality holds since $Q^\eta \mapsto \Gamma(Q^\eta)$ is convex and $n \mapsto \Gamma(Q^{\eta^n})$ is decreasing and the second inequality follow from the monotonicity property of $(\Gamma(Q^{\eta^n}))_n$. Therefore the corollary (3.1) gives

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Z}^n \ln(\bar{Z}^n)] = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H(Q^n|P) \leq K'(1 + \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \Gamma(\bar{Q}^n)) \leq K'(1 + \Gamma(Q^{\eta^1})). \tag{3.15}$$

Thus $(\bar{Z}^n_T)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is P-uniformly integrable by the Vallée-Poussin's criterion and converges in $L^1(P)$. This implies that $\mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Z}^\infty_T] = \lim_{n\to+\infty} E_P[\bar{Z}^n_T] = 1$ so that Q^∞ be a probability measure and $Q^\infty \ll P$ on $\mathcal{F}_T$. We define $Z^\infty_t := E_P[Z^\infty_T|\mathcal{F}_t]$. $(Z^\infty_t)_t$ is a martingale. From the representation given by (3.1) there is an adapted process $(\eta^\infty_t)_t$ valued in $\mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying $\int_0^T \|\eta^\infty_t\|^2 dt < +\infty$ $P.a.s$ and $Z^\infty_t = \mathcal{E}(\int_0^t \eta^\infty_s dW_s)$.

2. We now want to show that $\bar{Q}^\infty \in \mathcal{Q}^c_f$.
Let $\bar{Z}^\infty$ the density process of $\bar{Q}^\infty$ with respect to P. Since we know that $(\bar{Z}^n_T)$ converges to $\bar{Z}^\infty$ in $L^1(P)$, the maximal Doob inequality

$$P[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \mid \bar{Z}^\infty_t - \bar{Z}^n_t \mid \geq \epsilon] \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}\mathbb{E}_P[\mid \bar{Z}^\infty_T - \bar{Z}^n_T \mid]$$

implies that $(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \mid \bar{Z}^\infty_t - \bar{Z}^n_t \mid)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 in P-probability.
Going to a subsequence, still denoted by $(\bar{Z}^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, we can assume that $(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \mid \bar{Z}^\infty_t - \bar{Z}^n_t \mid)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 P-a.s
By the Burkholder Davis Gundy inequality there is a constant C such that

$$E[\langle \bar{Z}^\infty - \bar{Z}^n \rangle_T^{\frac{1}{2}}] \leq CE[\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \mid \bar{Z}^\infty_t - \bar{Z}^n_t \mid].$$

Let $M^n_t := \sup_{0\leq s\leq t} \mid \bar{Z}^\infty_s - \bar{Z}^n_s \mid$ and (τ_n) a sequence of stopping time defined by

$$\tau_n = \begin{cases} \inf\{t \in [0,T[; M^n_t \geq 1\} & \text{if} \quad \{t \in [0,T[; M^n_t \geq 1\} \neq \emptyset \\ T & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Since $M^n_{\tau_n}$ is bounded by $M^n_T \wedge 1$ then $M^n_{\tau_n}$ converges almost surely to 0 and by the dominated convergence theorem converges to 0 in $L^1(P)$. Then, using Burkholder Davis Gundy inequality $\langle \bar{Z}^\infty - \bar{Z}^n \rangle_{\tau_n}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ converges to 0 in $L^1(P)$ and a fortiori in probability.
As, $\langle \bar{Z}^\infty - \bar{Z}^n \rangle_T = \langle \bar{Z}^\infty - \bar{Z}^n \rangle_{\tau_n} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_n = T\}} + \langle \bar{Z}^\infty - \bar{Z}^n \rangle_T \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_n < T\}}$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\langle \bar{Z}^\infty - \bar{Z}^n \rangle_T \geq \varepsilon) &\leq P(\langle \bar{Z}^\infty - \bar{Z}^n \rangle_{\tau_n} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_n = T\}} \geq \varepsilon) + P(\langle \bar{Z}^\infty - \bar{Z}^n \rangle_T \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_n < T\}} \geq \varepsilon) \\ &\leq P(\langle \bar{Z}^\infty - \bar{Z}^n \rangle_{\tau_n} \geq \varepsilon) + P(\tau_n < T) \end{aligned}$$

From the convergence in probability of $(\langle \bar{Z}^\infty - \bar{Z}^n\rangle_{\tau_n})_n, we have \lim_{n\to+\infty} P(\langle \bar{Z}^\infty - \bar{Z}^n\rangle_{\tau_n} \geq \varepsilon) = 0$. Since M^n is an increasing process, we have

$$P(\tau_n < T) = P(\{\exists t \in [0,T[\;\; s.t \;\; M_t^n \geq 1\}) = P(\{M_T^n \geq 1\}).$$

Since M_T^n converges in probability to 0, we have $P(\{M_T^n \geq 1\}) \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$. Then $\lim_{n\to+\infty} P(\tau_n < T) = 0$, and consequently $\lim_{n\to+\infty} P(\langle \bar{Z}^\infty - \bar{Z}^n\rangle_T \geq \varepsilon) = 0$ .ie $(\langle \bar{Z}^\infty - \bar{Z}^n\rangle_T)_n$ converges in probability to 0. We can extract a subsequence denoted also by $\bar{Z}^n$ such that $(\langle \bar{Z}^\infty - \bar{Z}^n\rangle_T)_n$ converges almost surely to 0.
On the other hand we have

$$\langle \bar{Z}^\infty - \bar{Z}^n\rangle_T = \int_0^T (\bar{Z}_u^\infty \bar{\eta}_u^\infty - \bar{Z}_u^n \bar{\eta}_u^n)^2 du.$$

It follows that the processes $\bar{Z}^n \bar{\eta}^n$ converge in $dt \otimes dP$-measure to the process $\bar{Z}^\infty \bar{\eta}^\infty$. Since $\bar{Z}^n \longrightarrow \bar{Z}^\infty dt \otimes dP$-a.e, we have $\bar{\eta}^n$ converges in $dt \otimes dP$-measure to $\bar{\eta}^\infty$. Fatou's lemma and inequality (3.15) gives:

$$\gamma_0(\bar{Q}^\infty) = \mathbb{E}_P[\bar{Z}_T^\infty \int_0^T h(\bar{\eta}_u^\infty) du] \leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[Z_T^n \int_0^T h(\eta_u^n) du] < +\infty. \tag{3.16}$$

This shows that $\bar{Q}^\infty \in \mathcal{Q}_f$.
Now we will show that the probability $\bar{Q}^\infty$ is optimal.
For $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$, let $\bar{Y}_1^n := \bar{Z}_T^n \mathcal{U}^\delta$ and $\bar{Y}_2^n := \beta \mathcal{R}^\delta(\bar{Q}^n))$ then $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \bar{Y}_i^n = \bar{Y}_i^\infty$ $P-$a.s for $i = 1, 2$. As $\bar{Y}_2^n$ is bounded from below, uniformly in n and ω, the Fatou's lemma yields:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\bar{Y}_2^\infty] \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\bar{Y}_2^n]. \tag{3.17}$$

By adopting the same approach as in Theorem 3.1 we show that:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\bar{Y}_1^\infty] \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\bar{Y}_1^n]. \tag{3.18}$$

Inequality(3.17), (3.18) and (3.15) provide that:

$$\Gamma(\bar{Q}^\infty) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\bar{Y}_1^\infty + \bar{Y}_2^\infty] \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \Gamma(\bar{Q}^n) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \Gamma(Q^n) \leq \inf_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_f} \Gamma(Q).$$

This proves that $\bar{Q}^\infty$ is indeed optimal.

□

3.2 BSDE description for the dynamic value process

In this section, we employ stochastic control techniques to study the dynamics of the value process denoted by V associated with the optimization problem (3.3). We prove that V is the only single solution of a quadratic backward stochastic differential equation. This extends the work of Skiadas [19], Schroder and Skiadas [17].

We first introduce some notations that we use below. We Denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the set of all $\mathcal{F}$ stopping time τ with values in $[0,T]$, $\mathcal{D}^c$ the space of all processes η with $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c$ and $\mathcal{D}^{c,e}$ the space of all processes η with $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^{c,e}$. We define:

$$\mathcal{D}^c(\eta,\tau) := \{\eta' \in \mathcal{D}^c, Q^\eta = Q^{\eta'} \text{ on } [0,\tau]\}$$

$$\Gamma(\tau, Q^\eta) := \mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}[c(.,Q^\eta)|\mathcal{F}_\tau].$$

We note that $\Gamma(0,Q^\eta)$ and $\Gamma(Q^\eta)$ coincide. The minimal conditional cost at time τ is defined by

$$J(\tau, Q^\eta) := Q^\eta - \underset{\eta' \in \mathcal{D}^c(\eta,\tau)}{\text{essinf}}\, \Gamma(\tau, Q^{\eta'}).$$

Then the problem (3.3) can be written as follows:

$$\text{give} \inf_{Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c} \Gamma(Q^\eta) = \inf_{Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c} \mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}[c(.,Q^\eta)] = \mathbb{E}_P[J(0,Q^\eta)]. \tag{3.19}$$

Where the second equality is deduced since the dynamic programming principle holds and we have $Q^\eta = P$ on $\mathcal{F}_0$ for all $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c$.

The following martingale optimality principle is a direct consequence of Theorems 1.15, 1.17 and 1.21 in El Karoui[11]. For shake of completeness, we give the proof in the Appendix.

Proposition 3.4 *(1) The family $\{J(\tau, Q^\eta)|\tau \in \mathcal{S}, Q^\eta \in Q_f^c\}$ is a submartingale system.*

(2) $Q^{\eta^*} \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c$ is optimal $\Leftrightarrow$ $\{J(\tau, Q^{\eta^*})|\tau \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is a martingale system .

(3) For all $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c$ there is an adapted RCLL process $J_\tau^\eta = J(\tau, Q^\eta)$ which is a right closed Q^η-submartingale such that : $J_\tau^\eta = J(\tau, Q^\eta)$ Q^η-a.s for each stopping time τ.

In order to characterize the value process in terms of BSDE we need the following proposition.

Proposition 3.5 *Under **(A1)-(A'2)**, we have*

$$\inf_{Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c} \Gamma(Q^\eta) = \inf_{Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^{c,e}} \Gamma(Q^\eta).$$

Proof. Let $Q^{\eta^*} \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c$ such that $\inf_{Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c} \mathbb{E}_Q[c(.,Q)] = \mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta^*}}[c(.,Q^{\eta^*})]$ and $\lambda \in [0,1)$, then $\lambda Q^{\eta^*} + (1-\lambda)P \in \mathcal{Q}_f^{c,e}$. Since $Q^\eta \mapsto \Gamma(Q^\eta)$ is convex then

$$\Gamma(\lambda Q^{\eta^*} + (1-\lambda)P) \le \lambda\Gamma(Q^{\eta^*}) + (1-\lambda)\Gamma(P) \;\; \forall \lambda \in [0,1),$$

which implies

$$\limsup_{\lambda \to 1} \Gamma(\lambda Q^{\eta^*} + (1-\lambda)P) \le \Gamma(Q^{\eta^*}).$$

Consequently, we have

$$\inf_{Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c} \Gamma(Q^\eta) \ge \inf_{Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^{c,e}} \Gamma(Q^\eta).$$

The converse inequality holds since $\mathcal{Q}_f^{c,e} \subset \mathcal{Q}_f^c$. □

We use later a strong order relation on the set of increasing processes defined by

Definition 3.2 *Let A and B two increasing process. We say $A \preceq B$ if the process $B - A$ is increasing.*

We already know from Theorem 3.1 that there exist an optimal model $Q^{\eta^*} \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c$. For each $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^{c,e}$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{S}$, we define the value of the control problem started at time τ

$$V(\tau, Q^\eta) = Q^\eta - ess \inf_{\eta' \in \mathcal{D}(\eta,\tau)} \tilde{V}(\tau, Q^{\eta'}),$$

where

$$\tilde{V}(\tau, Q^{\eta'}) = \mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta'}}[\mathcal{U}^\delta_{\tau,T}|\mathcal{F}_\tau] + \beta \mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta'}}[\mathcal{R}^\delta_{\tau,T}(Q^{\eta'})|\mathcal{F}_\tau].$$

We need to define the following space

$$\mathcal{H}^p_d = \Big\{(Z_t)_{0\le t\le T}\mathbb{F}\text{-progressively measurable process valued in } \mathbb{R}^d \;\; s.t \;\; \mathbb{E}_P[\int_0^T |Z_u|^p du] < \infty\Big\}.$$

The following result characterizes the value process V as the unique solution of a BSDE with a quadratic generator and unbounded terminal condition. Precisely we have

Theorem 3.2 *Under the assumptions **(A1)-(A'2)**, the pair (V, Z) is the unique solution in $D_0^{\exp} \times \mathcal{H}^p_d, p \ge 1,$ of the following BSDE:*

$$\begin{cases} dY_t = (\delta_t Y_t - \alpha U_t + h^*(\frac{1}{\beta} Z_t))dt - Z_t dW_t, \\ \quad Y_T = \alpha' U'_T. \end{cases} \tag{3.20}$$

and Q^* is equivalent to P.

Proof. By using Bayes formula and the definition of $\mathcal{R}^\delta_{\tau,T}(Q^{\eta'})$, it is clear that $\tilde{V}(\tau, Q^{\eta'})$ depends only on the values of η' on $(\tau, T]$ and therefore independent of Q^η since $\eta = \eta'$ on $[0, \tau]$. Thus we can also take the essinf under $P \approx Q$. From Proposition 3.5, we could take the infimum over the set $\mathcal{Q}_f^{c,e}$, which implies $V(\tau, Q^\eta) = P - \operatorname*{essinf}_{\eta' \in \mathcal{D}^c(\eta,\tau)\cap\mathcal{D}^{c,e}} \tilde{V}(\tau, Q^{\eta'})$, for all $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^{c,e}$. Since $V(\tau, Q^\eta)$ is independent of Q^η, we can denote $V(\tau, Q^\eta)$ by $V(\tau)$.
We fix $\eta' \in \mathcal{D}(Q^\eta, \tau)$. From the definition of $\mathcal{R}^\delta_{t,T}(Q^{\eta'})$ (see equation (3.2)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^\delta_{0,T}(Q^{\eta'}) &= \int_0^T \delta_s S^\delta_s (\int_0^s h(\eta'_u)du)ds + S^\delta_T \int_0^T h(\eta'_u)du \\ &= \int_0^\tau \delta_s S^\delta_s (\int_0^s h(\eta_u)du)ds + S^\delta_\tau \int_0^\tau h(\eta_u)du + S^\delta_\tau \mathcal{R}^\delta_{\tau,T}(Q^{\eta'}). \end{aligned}$$

By comparing the definitions of $V(\tau) = V(\tau, Q^\eta)$ and $J(\tau, Q^\eta)$, then we get for $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^{c,e}$

$$J^\eta_\tau = S_\tau V_\tau + \alpha \int_0^\tau S_s U_s ds + \beta\Big(\int_0^\tau \delta_s S^\delta_s (\int_0^s h(\eta_u)du)ds + S^\delta_\tau \int_0^\tau h(\eta_u)du\Big). \tag{3.21}$$

Arguing as above, the essinf for $J(\tau, Q^\eta)$ could be taken under $P \approx Q^\eta$. From the Proposition 3.4, J^η_τ admits a RCLL version. From equality (3.21), we can choose an appropriate RCLL process $V = (V_t)_{0\le t\le T}$ such that

$$V_\tau = V(\tau) = V(\tau, Q^\eta), \;\; \mathbb{P}.a.s \;\; \text{for all } \; \tau \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^{c,e}$$

and then we have for all $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^{c,e}$

$$J^\eta_t = S^\delta_t V_t + \alpha \int_0^t S^\delta_s U_s ds + \beta\Big(\int_0^t \delta_s S^\delta_s \Big(\int_0^s h(\eta_u)du\Big)ds + S^\delta_t \int_0^t h(\eta_s)ds \Big) \quad dt\otimes dP \text{ a.e, } 0 \le t \le T. \tag{3.22}$$

From Remak 3.1 P belongs to $\mathcal{Q}_f^{c,e}$. If we take $\eta \equiv 0$, the probability measure Q^0 coincides with the historical probability measure P. Then, by the Proposition 3.4, J^P is P-submartingale. From equation (3.21), $J^0 = S^\delta V + \alpha \int S^\delta_s U_s ds$ and thus, by Itô's lemma, we deduce that V is a P-special semimartingale. We write its canonical decomposition as follows:

$$V_t = V_0 - \int_0^t q_s dW_s + \int_0^t K_s ds. \tag{3.23}$$

For each $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^{c,e}$, we have $Z^\eta_\cdot = \mathcal{E}(\int_0^\cdot \eta dW)$. Plugging (3.23) in to (3.21), we obtain

$$dJ^\eta_t = S^\delta_t(-q_t dW_t + K_t dt) - \delta_t S_t V_t dt + \alpha S_t U_t dt + \beta S^\delta_t h(\eta_t)dt.$$

By the Girsanov theorem the process $-\int_0^\cdot q_t dW_t + \int_0^\cdot q_t \eta_t dt$ is a local martingale under Q^η and the dynamics of $(J^\eta_t)_t$ is given by

$$dJ^\eta_t = S^\delta_t(-q_t dW_t + q_t\eta_t dt) + S^\delta_t(K_t - q_t\eta_t + \beta h(\eta_t))dt - \delta_t S^\delta_t V_t dt + \alpha S^\delta_t U_t dt.$$

J^η is a $Q^\eta-$ submartingale and J^{η^*} is Q^{η^*}- martingale. Such properties holds if we choose $K_t = \delta V_t - \alpha U_t - \operatorname{ess\,inf}_\eta(-q_t\eta_t + \beta h(\eta_t))$, where the essential infmum is taken in the sense of strong order $\preceq$. Then

$$K_t = \delta V_t - \alpha U_t + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_\eta(q_t\eta_t - \beta h(\eta_t)) = \delta V_t - \alpha U_t + \beta h^*(\frac{1}{\beta}q_t). \tag{3.24}$$

This ess inf is reached for $\eta^* = (h')^{-1}(\frac{1}{\beta}q_t)$. From (3.23) and (3.24) we deduce that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} dV_t = (\delta_t V_t - \alpha U_t + \beta h^*(\frac{1}{\beta}q_t))dt - q_t dW_t \\ V_T = \alpha' U'_T \end{array} \right. . \tag{3.25}$$

Moreover we have, $(h^*)'(q_t) = \eta^*_t \quad dt \otimes dP$ a.s . By the convexity of h^*, there exists a positive constant c such that $|(h^*)'(x)| \le c(|x| + 1.)$ Then, we have

$$\int_0^T |\eta^*_t|^2 dt = \int_0^T |(h^*)'(q_t)|^2 dt \le c^2 \int_0^T (1 + |q_t|)^2 dt < +\infty, \tag{3.26}$$

which mean $P\{\frac{dQ^{\eta^*}}{dP} = 0\} = P\{\int_0^T |\eta^*_t|^2 dt = \infty\} = 0$. Hence $Q^{\eta^*} \sim P$. □

Remark 3.3 *From Briand and Hu [15] the equation (3.20) has a unique solution, because inequality*

$$h(x) \ge \kappa_1 |x|^2 - \kappa_2$$

implies

$$h^*(x) \leq \frac{1}{2\kappa_1}|x|^2 + \kappa_2$$

and hence the driver f of BSDE (3.20) given by $f(t,w,y,z) = \delta_t y - \alpha U_t + \beta h^*(\frac{1}{\beta}z)$ satisfies:

1. *for all $t \in [0,T]$, for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $z \mapsto f(t,y,z)$ is convex;*
2. *for all $(t,z) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\forall (y,y') \in \mathbb{R}^2; |f(t,y,z) - f(t,y',z)| \leq \| \delta \|_\infty |y - y'|.$$

3. *for all $(t,y,z) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$|f(t,y,z)| = |\delta_t y - \alpha U_t + \beta h^*(\frac{1}{\beta}z)| \leq \| \delta \|_\infty |y| + |\alpha||U_t| + \frac{1}{2\kappa_1\beta}|z|^2 + \kappa_2.$$

Since the process $|\alpha U_t| \in D_1^{\exp}$ and the terminale condition $\bar{\alpha}\bar{U}_T \in L^{\exp}$, the existence of the BSDE solution is ensured. Uniqueness comes from the convexity of h^*.

3.3 A comparison with related results

In the case of the entropic penalty, which corresponds to $h(x) = \frac{1}{2}|x|^2$, the value process is described through the backward stochastic differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} dY_t = (\delta_t Y_t - \alpha U_t + \frac{1}{2\beta}|Z|_t^2)dt - Z_t dW_t \\ \quad Y_T = \alpha' U'_T \end{cases}. \tag{3.27}$$

These results are obtained by Schroder and Skiadas in [19, 17] where $\alpha' = 0$. In the context of a dynamic concave utility, Delbaen, Hu and Bao [5] treated the case $\delta = 0$ and $\xi = \alpha' U'$ is bounded and $\beta = 1$. In this special case the existence of an optimal probability is a direct consequence of Dunford-Pettis theorem and James theorem shown in Jouini-Schachermayer-Touzi's work [3]. Delbaen et al. showed that the dynamic concave utility

$$Y_t = ess \inf_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_f} E[\xi + \int_t^T h(\eta_u)du|\mathcal{F}_t]$$

satisfies the following BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} dY_t = h^*(Z_t)dt - Z_t dW_t \\ \quad Y_T = \xi \end{cases}. \tag{3.28}$$

□

4 Appendix

4.1 Proof of the Bellman optimal principle

4.1.1 f-divergence case

Lemma 4.1 *For all* $\tau \in \mathcal{S}$ *and all* $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_f$*, the random variable* $J(\tau, Q)$ *belongs* $L^1(Q)$

Proof. By definition

$$J(\tau, Q) \leq \Gamma(\tau, Q) \leq \mathbb{E}_Q[|c(.,Q)||\mathcal{F}_\tau],$$

and consequently

$$(J(\tau, Q))^+ \leq \mathbb{E}_Q[|c(.,Q)||\mathcal{F}_\tau]$$

is $Q-$ integrable according to the Proposition 2.1.
Let us show that $(J(\tau, Q))^-$ is $Q-$ integrable. We fix $Z^{Q'} \in \mathcal{D}(Q, \tau)$.
In the inequality (2.8), choosing $\gamma > 0$ such that $\beta e^{(-T\|\delta\|_\infty)} - \dfrac{1}{\gamma} = 0$, then we obtain

$$\Gamma(\tau, Q') \geq -B := -\beta\kappa\frac{1}{Z_\tau^Q}(T \parallel \delta \parallel_\infty +1) - \frac{1}{Z_\tau^Q}\Big[\frac{1}{\gamma}\mathbb{E}_P[f^*(\gamma\alpha\int_0^T |U(s)|ds + \gamma\bar{\alpha}|\bar{U}_T|)|\mathcal{F}_\tau]\Big]. \tag{4.1}$$

Since the random variable B is nonnegative and does not depend on Q', we conclude that $J(\tau, Q) \geq -B$. Since $f^*(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \geq 0$, we have

$$J(\tau, Q)^- \leq B := \beta\kappa\frac{1}{Z_\tau^Q}(T \parallel \delta \parallel_\infty +1) + \frac{1}{Z_\tau^Q}\Big[\frac{1}{\gamma}\mathbb{E}_P[f^*(\gamma\alpha\int_0^T |U(s)|ds + \gamma\alpha'|U'_T|)|\mathcal{F}_\tau]\Big]. \tag{4.2}$$

Finally, $B \in L^1(Q)$ because the assumption **(A1)-(A2)**. □

Lemma 4.2 *The space* $\mathcal{D}$ *is compatible and stable under bifurcation and the cost functional* c *is coherent.*

Proof. 1-We first prove that $\mathcal{D}$ is compatible
Take $Z^Q \in \mathcal{D}, \tau \in \mathcal{S}$ and $Z^{Q'} \in \mathcal{D}(Q, \tau)$. Then , by the definition of $\mathcal{D}(Q, \tau)$ we have $Q|_{\mathcal{F}_\tau} = Q'|_{\mathcal{F}_\tau}$
2- Take again $Z^Q \in \mathcal{D}, \tau \in \mathcal{S}, A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ and $Z^{Q'} \in \mathcal{D}(Q, \tau)$. We have to check that $Z^Q|\tau_A|Z^{Q'} := Z^{Q'}\mathbf{1}_A + Z^Q\mathbf{1}_{A^c}$ is still in $\mathcal{D}$.
To this end, it is enough to show that $Z^Q|\tau_A|Z^{Q'}$ is a $\mathcal{F}$-martingale and that $(Z^Q|\tau_A|Z^{Q'})_T$ defines a probability measure in $\mathcal{Q}_f$.
Let us start proving that $Z^Q|\tau_A|Z^{Q'}$ is a martingale. Since our time horizon T is finite, we have to prove that

$$\mathbb{E}_P[(Z^Q|\tau_A|Z^{Q'})_T|\mathcal{F}_t] = (Z^Q|\tau_A|Z^{Q'})_t$$

Observing that $\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau\le t\}}+\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau>t\}}\equiv 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_P[(Z^Q|\tau_A|Z^{Q'})_T|\mathcal{F}_t] &= \mathbb{E}_P[Z^{Q'}_T I_A + Z^Q_T\mathbf{1}_{A^c}(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau\le t\}}+\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau>t\}})|\mathcal{F}_t]\\ &= \mathbb{E}_P[Z^{Q'}_T\mathbf{1}_{A\cap\{\tau\le t\}}|\mathcal{F}_t]+\mathbb{E}_P[Z^{Q'}_T\mathbf{1}_{A\cap\{\tau>t\}}|\mathcal{F}_t]\\ &+\mathbb{E}_P[Z^{Q}_T\mathbf{1}_{A\cap\{\tau\le t\}}|\mathcal{F}_t]+\mathbb{E}_P[Z^{Q}_T\mathbf{1}_{A\cap\{\tau>t\}}|\mathcal{F}_t]\end{aligned}$$

Since $A\cap\{\tau\le t\}$ and $A^c\cap\{\tau\le t\}$ are in $\mathcal{F}_t$, while $A\cap\{\tau>t\}$ and $A^c\cap\{\tau>t\}$ are in $\mathcal{F}_\tau$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}_P[(Z^Q|\tau_A|Z^{Q'})_T|\mathcal{F}_t]\\ &= I_{A\cap\{\tau\le t\}}\mathbb{E}_P[Z^{Q'}_T|\mathcal{F}_t]+\mathbb{E}_P[\mathbb{E}_P[Z^{Q'}_T\mathbf{1}_{A\cap\{\tau>t\}}|\mathcal{F}_{\tau\vee t}]|\mathcal{F}_t]\\ &+\mathbf{1}_{A^c\cap\{\tau\le t\}}\mathbb{E}_P[Z^Q_T|\mathcal{F}_t]+\mathbb{E}_P[\mathbb{E}_P[Z^Q_T\mathbf{1}_{A^c\cap\{\tau>t\}}|\mathcal{F}_{\tau\vee t}]|\mathcal{F}_t]\\ &= \mathbf{1}_{A\cap\{\tau\le t\}}Z^{Q'}_t+P[Z^{Q'}_{\tau\vee t}\mathbf{1}_{A\cap\{\tau>t\}}|\mathcal{F}_t]+\mathbf{1}_{A^c\cap\{\tau\le t\}}Z^Q_t+P[Z^Q_{\tau\vee t}\mathbf{1}_{A^c\cap\{\tau>t\}}|\mathcal{F}_t]\\ &= \mathbf{1}_{A\cap\{\tau\le t\}}Z^{Q'}_t+\mathbb{E}_P[Z^{Q'}_{\tau}\mathbf{1}_{A\cap\{\tau>t\}}|\mathcal{F}_t]+\mathbf{1}_{A^c\cap\{\tau\le t\}}Z^Q_t+\mathbb{E}_P[Z^Q_{\tau}\mathbf{1}_{A^c\cap\{\tau>t\}}|\mathcal{F}_t]\end{aligned}$$

From the definition of $\mathcal{D}(Q,\tau)$, we have $Z^{Q'}_\tau=Z^Q_\tau$ and so

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}_P[(Z^Q|\tau_A|Z^{Q'})_T|\mathcal{F}_t]\\ &= \mathbf{1}_{A\cap\{\tau\le t\}}Z^{Q'}_t+\mathbb{E}_P[Z^{Q}_{\tau}\mathbf{1}_{A\cap\{\tau>t\}}|\mathcal{F}_t]+\mathbf{1}_{A^c\cap\{\tau\le t\}}Z^Q_t+\mathbb{E}_P[Z^Q_{\tau}I_{A^c\cap\{\tau>t\}}|\mathcal{F}_t]\\ &= \mathbf{1}_{A\cap\{\tau\le t\}}Z^{Q'}_t+\mathbb{E}_P[Z^{Q}_{\tau}\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau>t\}}|\mathcal{F}_t]+\mathbf{1}_{A^c\cap\{\tau\le t\}}Z^Q_t\\ &= \mathbf{1}_{A\cap\{\tau\le t\}}Z^{Q'}_t+Z^Q_t\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau>t\}}+\mathbf{1}_{A^c\cap\{\tau\le t\}}Z^Q_t\\ &= \mathbf{1}_{A\cap\{\tau\le t\}}Z^{Q'}_t+Z^Q_t(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau>t\}\cap A}+\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau>t\}\cap A^c})+\mathbf{1}_{A^c\cap\{\tau\le t\}}Z^Q_t\\ &= \mathbf{1}_{A\cap\{\tau\le t\}}Z^{Q'}_t+Z^{Q'}_t\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau>t\}\cap A}+Z^Q_t\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau>t\}\cap A^c}+\mathbf{1}_{A^c\cap\{\tau\le t\}}Z^Q_t\\ &= \mathbf{1}_A Z^{Q'}_t+\mathbf{1}^c_A Z^Q_t\\ &= (Z^Q|\tau_A|Z^{Q'})_t\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

From the definition of $Z^Q|\tau_A|Z^{Q'}$, we have $Z^Q|\tau_A|Z^{Q'}\in L^1([0,T])$ and so $Z^Q|\tau_A|Z^{Q'}$ is a $\mathcal{F}$-martingale which implies

$$\mathbb{E}_P[(Z^Q|\tau_A|Z^{Q'})_T]=\mathbb{E}_P[Z^{Q'}_0\mathbf{1}_A+Z^Q_0\mathbf{1}_{A^c}]=\mathbf{1}_A+\mathbf{1}_{A^c}=1.$$

It remains to show that $d(\bar{Q})<\infty$ where the density of $\bar{Q}$ is given by $Z^Q|\tau_A|Z^{Q'}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}d(\bar{Q}|P)+\kappa &= \mathbb{E}_P[f(Z^{Q'}_T\mathbf{1}_A+Z^Q_T\mathbf{1}_{A^c})+\kappa]\\ &= \mathbb{E}_P[\mathbf{1}_A(f(Z^{Q'}_T)+\kappa)+\mathbf{1}_{A^c}(f(Z^Q_T)+\kappa)]\\ &\le \mathbb{E}_P[(f(Z^{Q'}_T)+\kappa)+(f(Z^Q_T)+\kappa)]\\ &\le d(Q|P)+d(Q'|P)+2\kappa\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

Where the first inequality is deduced from the Assumption **(H2)**. Then

$$d(\bar{Q}|P)\le d(Q|P)+d(Q'|P)+\kappa<\infty.$$

3- Take Z^Q and $Z^{Q'}$ in $\mathcal{D}$; We denote by A the set $\{\omega; Z^Q(\omega) = Z^{Q'}(\omega)\}$; We have to prove that

$$c(\omega, Z^Q(\omega)) = c(\omega, Z^{Q'}(\omega))$$

on A $Q-$a.s and $Q'-$a.s $\square$

4.1.2 Consistent time penalty case

Lemma 4.3 *The space $\mathcal{D}^c$ is compatible, stable under bifurcation and the cost function c is coherent.*

Proof.
1 - $\mathcal{D}^c$ **is compatible.**
Let $\eta \in \mathcal{D}, \tau \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\eta' \in \mathcal{D}^c(Q^\eta, \tau)$. Then, by definition of $\mathcal{D}^c(Q^\eta, \tau)$ we have $Q^\eta|_{\mathcal{F}_\tau} = Q^{\eta'}|_{\mathcal{F}_\tau}$.
2 - $\mathcal{D}^c$ **is stable under bifurcation .**
Let again $\eta \in \mathcal{D}^c, \tau \in \mathcal{S}, A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ and $\eta' \in \mathcal{D}^c(Q^\eta, \tau)$. We must verify that $\eta'' = \eta|\tau_A|\eta' := \eta \mathbf{1}_A + \eta' \mathbf{1}_{A^c}$ remains in $\mathcal{D}^c$. ie $E_{Q^{\eta''}}[\int_0^T h(\eta''_u)du] < +\infty$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{Q^{\eta''}}[\int_0^T h(\eta''_u)du] &\le E_{Q^{\eta''}}[\mathbf{1}_A \int_0^T h(\eta_u)du + \mathbf{1}_{A^c} \int_0^T h(\eta'_u)du] \\
&= E_P[Z_T^{\eta''} \mathbf{1}_A \int_0^T h(\eta_u)du + Z_T^{\eta''} \mathbf{1}_{A^c} \int_0^T h(\eta'_u)du] \\
&= E_P[Z_T^{\eta} \mathbf{1}_A \int_0^T h(\eta_u)du + Z_T^{\eta'} \mathbf{1}_{A^c} \int_0^T h(\eta'_u)du] \\
&\le E_{Q^\eta}[\int_0^T h(\eta_u)du] + E_{Q^{\eta'}}[\int_0^T h(\eta'_u)du]
\end{aligned}$$

Where the last inequality is deduced from the non negativity of h and the second equality is deduced from the definition of η'.
3-The cost function c is coherent.
Let η and η' in $\mathcal{D}^c$. Denote by A the set $\{\omega, \eta(\omega) = \eta'(\omega)\}$. It is obvious that

$$c(\omega, \eta(\omega)) = c(\omega, \eta'(\omega))$$

$Q - a.s$ and $Q' - a.s$ on A $\square$

Lemma 4.4 *For all $\tau \in \mathcal{S}$ and $Q^\eta \in \mathcal{Q}_f^c$, the random variable $J(\tau, Q^\eta)$ is in $L^1(Q^\eta)$.*

Proof. By definition, we have

$$J(\tau, Q^\eta) \le \Gamma(\tau, Q^\eta) \le \mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}[|c(., Q^\eta)||\mathcal{F}_\tau],$$

which implies that

$$(J(\tau, Q^\eta))^+ \le \mathbb{E}_{Q^\eta}[|c(., Q^\eta)||\mathcal{F}_\tau]$$

and so $(J(\tau, Q^\eta))^+$ is Q^η-integrable by Proposition 3.1.
It remains to show that $(J(\tau, Q^\eta))^-$ is also Q^η-integrable.
Fix $\eta' \in \mathcal{D}^c(Q^\eta, \tau)$. we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta E_{Q^{\eta'}}[\int_0^T \delta_s S_s^\delta (\int_0^s h(\eta'_u)du)ds + S_T^\delta \int_0^T h(\eta'_s)ds|\mathcal{F}_\tau] &\geq \beta E_{Q^{\eta'}}[S_T^\delta \int_\tau^T h(\eta'_s)ds|\mathcal{F}_\tau] \\ &\geq \beta e^{-\|\delta\|_\infty T}\gamma_\tau(Q^{\eta'}).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $0 \leq S^\delta \leq 1$ and using Bayes formula, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta'}}[\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta|\mathcal{F}_\tau] \geq -\mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta'}}[R|\mathcal{F}_\tau] = -\frac{1}{Z_\tau^\eta}\mathbb{E}_P[Z_T^{\eta'}R|\mathcal{F}_\tau].$$

Using the inequality (3.10) and Bayes formula, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_P[Z_T^{\eta'}R|\mathcal{F}_\tau] &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_P[Z_T^{\eta'}\log Z_T^{\eta'} + e^{-1}e^{\lambda R}|\mathcal{F}_\tau] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda}Z_\tau^{\eta'}\mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta'}}[\log Z_T^{\eta'}|\mathcal{F}_\tau] + \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}|\mathcal{F}_\tau] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda}Z_\tau^{\eta'}\mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta'}}[\int_0^T \eta'_u dW_u - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T |\eta'|_u^2 du|\mathcal{F}_\tau] + \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}|\mathcal{F}_\tau] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda}Z_\tau^{\eta'}(\int_0^\tau \eta'_u dW_u - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\tau |\eta'|_u^2 du + \mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta'}}[\int_\tau^T \eta'_u dW_u - \int_\tau^T |\eta'|_u^2 du|\mathcal{F}_\tau] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta'}}[\frac{1}{2}\int_\tau^T |\eta'|_u^2 du|\mathcal{F}_\tau]) + \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}|\mathcal{F}_\tau]\end{aligned}$$

By the Girsanov theorem the process $(\int_0^\cdot \eta'_u dW_u - \int_0^\cdot |\eta'|_u^2 du)$ is a local $Q^{\eta'}$- martingale and therefore $\mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta'}}[\int_\tau^T \eta'_u dW_u - \int_\tau^T |\eta'|_u^2 du|\mathcal{F}_\tau] = 0$. Consequently, by using that $Z_\tau^{\eta'} = Z_\tau^\eta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_P[Z_T^{\eta'}R|\mathcal{F}_\tau] &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda}Z_\tau^\eta\Big(\int_0^\tau \eta'_u dW_u - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\tau |\eta'|_u^2 du + \mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta'}}[\frac{1}{2}\int_\tau^T |\eta'|_u^2 du|\mathcal{F}_\tau]\Big) + \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}|\mathcal{F}_\tau] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda}Z_\tau^\eta\Big(\int_0^\tau \eta_u dW_u - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\tau |\eta|_u^2 du + \mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta'}}[\frac{1}{2}\int_\tau^T |\eta'|_u^2 du|\mathcal{F}_\tau]\Big) + \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}|\mathcal{F}_\tau] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda}Z_\tau^\eta\Big(\int_0^\tau \eta_u dW_u - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\tau |\eta|_u^2 du + \mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta'}}[\frac{1}{2}\int_\tau^T \frac{h(\eta'_u) + \kappa_2}{\kappa_1}du|\mathcal{F}_\tau]\Big) + \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}|\mathcal{F}_\tau]\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta'}}[\mathcal{U}_{0,T}^\delta|\mathcal{F}_\tau] \geq -\frac{1}{\lambda}\Big(\int_0^\tau \eta_u dW_u - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\tau |\eta|_u^2 du + \mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta'}}[\frac{1}{2}\int_\tau^T \frac{h(\eta'_u) + \kappa_2}{\kappa_1}du|\mathcal{F}_\tau]\Big) - \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda}\frac{1}{Z_\tau^\eta}\mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}|\mathcal{F}_\tau],$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\tau, Q^{\eta'}) \geq & \beta e^{-\|\delta\|_\infty T}\gamma_\tau(Q^{\eta'}) - \frac{1}{\lambda}\mathbb{E}_{Q^{\eta'}}[\frac{1}{2}\int_\tau^T \frac{h(\eta'_u)+\kappa_2}{\kappa_1}du|\mathcal{F}_\tau] \\ & - \frac{1}{\lambda}(\int_0^\tau \eta_u dW_u - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\tau |\eta|_u^2 du) - \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda}\frac{1}{Z_\tau^\eta}\mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}|\mathcal{F}_\tau]. \\ & = (\beta e^{-\|\delta\|_\infty T} - \frac{1}{2\lambda\kappa_1})\gamma_\tau(Q^{\eta'}) \\ & - \frac{1}{\lambda}(\int_0^\tau \eta_u dW_u - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\tau |\eta|_u^2 du + \frac{T\kappa_2}{2\kappa_1}) - \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda}\frac{1}{Z_\tau^\eta}\mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}|\mathcal{F}_\tau].\end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda > 0$ such that $\beta e^{-\|\delta\|_\infty T} - \frac{1}{2\lambda\kappa_1} = 0$ then

$$\Gamma(\tau, Q^{\eta'}) \geq -\frac{1}{\lambda}(|\int_0^\tau \eta_u dW_u| + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\tau |\eta|_u^2 du + \frac{T\kappa_2}{2\kappa_1}) - \frac{e^{-1}}{\lambda}\frac{1}{Z_\tau^\eta}\mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}|\mathcal{F}_\tau] := -B.$$

Since the random variable B is nonnegative and does not depend on $Q^{\eta'}$, we conclude that $J(\tau, Q) \geq -B$. So that $J(\tau, Q)^- \leq B$.
It thus remains to be shown that $B \in L^1(Q^\eta)$.
Under assumptions **(A1)-(A'2)**, we have

$$E_{Q^\eta}[\frac{1}{Z_\tau^\eta}\mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}|\mathcal{F}_\tau]] = E_P[\mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}|\mathcal{F}_\tau]] = \mathbb{E}_P[e^{\lambda R}] < +\infty.$$

Moreover

$$E_{Q^\eta}[|\int_0^\tau \eta_u dW_u| + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\tau |\eta|_u^2 du] < +\infty.$$

Hence, $B \in L^1(Q^\eta)$. □

References

[1] J. Cox, and C. Huang (1989). *Optimal consumption and portfolio policies when asset prices follow a diffusion process.* Journal of Economic Theory, 49, 33-83.

[2] ; I. Csiszar (1967) *Information-type measures of difference of probability distributions and indirect. Stud. Sci. Math. Hung,* **2**:299-318.

[3] E. Jouini, W. Schachermayer , and N. Touzi (2006). *Law Invariant Risk Measures have the Fatou Property.* Advances in Mathematical Economics **9**:49-72.

[4] F. Delbaen , S. Peng, and E. Rosazza Gianin (2010). *Representation of the penalty term of dynamic concave utilities.*Finance Stoch. **14**: 449-472, .

[5] F. Delbaen, Y. Hu, X. Bao (2011). *Backward stochastic differential equations with superquadratic growth* Probab. Theory Related Fields **150**: 145-192.

[6] Gilboa and D. Schmeidler (1989). *Maximin expected utility with a non-unique prior. Journal of Mathematical Economics*, **18**:141-153.

[7] P.Barrieu and N. El Karoui (2009). *Pricing, hedging and optimally designing derivatives via minimization of risk measures.* Princeton series in financial engineering, Edts. Carmona R. and çinlar E., 77-141.

[8] G. Bordigoni, A. Matoussi, M. Schweizer (2007). *A stochastic control approach to a robust utility maximization problem.* Abel Symposium 2005. Stochastic Analysis and Applications, eds. F.E. Benth, G. Di Nunno, T. Lindstrom, B. Oksendal, T. Zhang. Springer-Verlag Berlin, pp. 125-151

[9] L.Hansen and T.Sargent (2001). *Robust control and model uncertainty*, Amer.Econom. Rev. **91**:60-66.

[10] I. Karatzas, J.P. Lehoczky, S. Shreve, and G. Xu (1991). *Martingale and duality methods for utility maximization in an incomplete market. SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, **29**:702-730.

[11] N. El Karoui.(1981). *Les aspects probabilistes du contrle stochastique. Ecole d' été de Probabilités de Saint Flour IX, Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **876**:73-238.

[12] M. Frittelli(2000). *The minimal entropy martingale measure and the valuation problem in incomplete markets* Mathematical Finance, Vol. **10**(1):39-52.

[13] F. Maccheroni, M. Marinacci, and A.Rustichini (2006). *Ambiguity aversion,robustness,and the variational representation of preferences. Econometrica*, **74**(6):1447-1498.

[14] R.Merton (1971). *Optimum consumption and portfolio rules in a continuous-time model. Journal of Economic Theory*, **3**:373-413.

[15] Briand,P. and Hu,Y. (2008). *Quadratic BSDEs with convex generators and unbounded terminal conditions.* Prob. Theory and Related Fields **141**: 543567.

[16] D Schmeidler (1989). *Subjective probability and expected utility without additivity. Econometrica*, **57**:571-587.

[17] M.Schroder and C.Skiadas (2003). *Optimal lifetime consumption-potfolio strategies under trading constraints and generalized recursive preferences. Stochastic processes and their applications*, **108**:155-202.

[18] Alexander Schied (2008). *Robust optimal control for a consumption-investment problem*, Mathematical Methods of Operations Research **67**(1): 1-20.

[19] C. Skiadas (2003). *Robust control and recursive utility. Finance and Stochastics*, **7**:475-489.